arXiv:1208.3179v1 [math.NT] 15 Aug 2012

THE BREUIL–MÉZARD CONJECTURE FOR POTENTIALLY BARSOTTI–TATE REPRESENTATIONS.

TOBY GEE AND MARK KISIN

Abstract. We prove the Breuil–Mézard conjecture for 2-dimensional potentially Barsotti–Tate representations of the absolute Galois group G_K, K a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, for any $p > 2$ (up to the question of determining precise values for the multiplicities that occur). In the case that $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified, we also determine most of the multiplicities. We then apply these results to the weight part of Serre's conjecture, proving a variety of results including the Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis conjecture.

Contents

1. Introduction. 1
2. Potentially crystalline deformation rings. 5
3. Algebraic automorphic forms and Galois representations 8
4. The patching argument 10
5. The Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis Conjecture 23
Appendix A. Realising local representations globally 32
References 34

1. Introduction.

The Breuil–Mézard conjecture ([BM02]) predicts the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of the special fibre of a deformation ring of a mod p local Galois representation. It was originally formulated for 2-dimensional representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$) with a restriction on the Hodge–Tate weights of the deformations under consideration, but the formulation extends immediately to the case of unrestricted Hodge–Tate weights. The conjecture was (mostly) proved in [Kis09a], using global methods and the p-adic local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and was used in that paper to deduce modularity lifting theorems.

There is a natural generalisation of the Breuil–Mézard conjecture for continuous representations $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for any finite extension $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$. As far as we know this was first made explicit in [Kis10], and this conjecture is recalled in section 2 below. There is currently no known generalisation of the p-adic local Langlands correspondence to $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$, $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$, and it is accordingly not possible to use the local methods of [Kis09a] to prove the conjecture in greater generality. However, the global part of the argument is still available, and allows one to relate the Breuil–Mézard conjecture to modularity lifting theorems. The main idea of the present

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F33.

The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0701123.

paper is that one can *use* the modularity lifting theorems proved in [Kis09b] and [Gee06] (by a completely different method, unrelated to p-adic local Langlands) to prove the Breuil–Mézard conjecture for many potentially Barsotti–Tate deformation rings. As a byproduct of these arguments, we are also able to prove the Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis conjecture ([BDJ10]) on the weight part of Serre's conjecture for Hilbert modular forms. We remark that another proof of this conjecture (which, like the proof given in this paper, relies on the version of the conjecture for unitary groups proven in [BLGG11b], [GLS12a]) is expected to appear in [New].

We now describe the precise form of the results that we obtain. Fix finite extensions $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$, the latter (which will be our coefficient field) assumed sufficiently large. Let E have ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$, uniformiser π, and residue field $\mathbb{F}$, let k be the residue field of K, and fix a continuous representation $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. Given a Hodge type λ and an inertial type τ (see Section 2 below for the precise definitions of these notions, and of the other objects recalled without definition in this introduction), there is a universal lifting $\mathcal{O}$-algebra $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$ for potentially crystalline lifts of $\bar{r}$ of Hodge type λ and Galois type τ. The Breuil–Mézard conjecture predicts the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity $e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}/\pi)$ in terms of the representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$. More precisely, a result of Henniart attaches to τ a smooth, irreducible, finite-dimensional E-representation $\sigma(\tau)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ via the local Langlands correspondence, and there is also an algebraic representation W_λ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ associated to λ. Let $L_{\lambda,\tau} \subset W_\lambda \otimes \sigma(\tau)$ be a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$-invariant lattice; then the general shape of the Breuil–Mézard conjecture is that for all λ, τ we have

$$e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}/\pi) = \sum_{\sigma} n_{\lambda,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}),$$

where σ runs over the irreducible mod p representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, $n_{\lambda,\tau}(\sigma)$ is the multiplicity of σ as a Jordan–Hölder factor of $L_{\lambda,\tau}/\pi$, and the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ are non-negative integers, depending only on $\bar{r}$ and σ (and not on λ or τ).

One can view the conjecture as giving infinitely many equations (corresponding to the different possibilities for λ and τ) in the finitely many unknowns $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$, and it is easy to see that if the conjecture is completely proved, then the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ are completely determined (in fact, they are determined by the equations for τ the trivial representation and λ "small", and are zero unless σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$ in the sense of [Gee11a]). As we have already explained, we cannot at present prove the conjecture in complete generality, and we restrict to the potentially Barsotti–Tate case, which in our terminology is the case $\lambda = 0$. Our main theorem is the following (see Corollary 4.5.5).

Theorem A. *Suppose that $p > 2$. Then there are uniquely determined non-negative integers $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ such that for all inertial types τ, we have*

$$e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,0,\tau}/\pi) = \sum_{\sigma} n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}).$$

Furthermore, the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ enjoy the following properties.

1. *If $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$, then $\bar{r}$ has a crystalline lift of Hodge type σ in the sense of Definition 2.1.7 below.*
2. *If σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$ in the sense of* [BDJ10], [Sch08], [Gee11a] *(see Definition 2.1.10 below), then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$.*
3. *If $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is either unramified or totally ramified, then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ if and only if σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$.*

(4) *If $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified and σ is regular in the sense of Definition 2.1.8 below, then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) = e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\sigma}/\pi)$. If furthermore σ is Fontaine–Laffaille regular in the sense of Definition 2.1.8, then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) = 1$ if σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$, and is 0 otherwise.*

We are able to apply this result and the techniques that we use to prove it to the problem of the weight part of Serre's conjecture. For any $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ as above, we define a set of Serre weights $W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r})$ using potentially Barsotti–Tate lifts; it is the set of weights σ such that $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) > 0$. In the case that $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified or totally ramified, it follows from Theorem A(3) that $W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r})$ is precisely the set of predicted weights from ([BDJ10], [Sch08], [Gee11a]). We then prove the following result, where the definition of $\overline{\rho}$ being modular of some weight is in terms of quaternion algebras as in [BDJ10] and [Gee11b], rather than in terms of unitary groups as in [BLGG11b].

Theorem B. *Let $p > 2$ be prime, let F be a totally real field, and let $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is modular, that $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible, and if $p = 5$, assume further that the projective image of $\overline{\rho}$ is not isomorphic to either $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$.*

For each place $v|p$ of F with residue field k_v, let σ_v be a Serre weight of $GL_2(k_v)$. Then $\overline{\rho}$ is modular of weight $\otimes_{v|p}\sigma_v$ if and only if $\sigma_v \in W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ for all v.

In particular, in the case that p is unramified in F this proves the Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis conjecture ([BDJ10]) for $\overline{\rho}$. In general, it shows that the set of weights in which $\overline{\rho}$ is modular depends only on the restrictions of $\overline{\rho}$ to decomposition groups at places dividing p, which was not previously known.

We now sketch the proofs of Theorems A and B. As already explained, our methods are global, and rely on the Taylor–Wiles–Kisin method for proving modularity lifting theorems. Since the theorems we ultimately wish to prove are purely local, we have some freedom in the choice of global setting in which to work. Rather than use Hilbert modular forms, or modular forms on some quaternion algebra as in [BDJ10] and [Kis10], in order to prove Theorem A we work with rank two unitary groups over CM fields; in particular, this avoids any parity restriction on the weights of the modular forms which we use, and is essential for our applications to the weight part of Serre's conjecture. It also turns out to be convenient to follow the approach of [BLGGT10], which proves general modularity lifting theorems under the assumption of a condition called "potential diagonalizability". The main local results of [Kis09b] and [Gee06] prove that two-dimensional potentially Barsotti–Tate representations are potentially diagonalizable, so these techniques may be applied to the potentially Barsotti–Tate case. With these ingredients at hand, it is relatively straightforward to adapt the patching arguments of [Kis09a] to the unitary groups that we use.

Since our methods are global, it is necessary to realise local representations globally in order to deduce a purely local theorem. In general, the only way that we know how to do this is via the potential automorphy techniques of [BLGGT10] and [Cal12], and these methods cannot ensure that p is inert in the CM field that we use. (In the case that $\bar{r}$ is irreducible or decomposable, it is presumably possible to avoid this by making use of CM forms, but they cannot handle the case that $\bar{r}$ is reducible and decomposable.) The arguments of [Kis09a] then produce not a solution in non-negative integers to the systems of equations that we seek, but rather a solution to a product over the primes above p of these systems of equations. In order to deduce Theorem A, we need to make various arguments using linear algebra; a key feature of these arguments is that the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ are uniquely determined by the equations.

We are able to deduce the finer properties of the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ from our global results because in some cases we can say more about the low weight crystalline deformation rings (and in particular, we are able to show that the low weight crystalline lifts are all potentially diagonalizable). This follows from the results on the weight part of Serre's conjecture proved in [GLS12b], [GLS12a], and Fontaine–Laffaille theory.

In order to prove Theorem B, we then repeat these constructions in the setting of the cohomology of Shimura curves associated to division algebras. The patching argument goes over much as before for potentially Barsotti–Tate types, and by the uniqueness of the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$, we find that the multiplicities computed globally in this setting agree with those computed via unitary groups. Theorem B is essentially immediate from the construction, as the global multiplicities are nonzero precisely when there are modular forms of the corresponding Serre weight. However, in order to deduce the Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis conjecture from Theorem B, it is crucial to know that $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ precisely if σ is a predicted Serre weight in the sense of [BDJ10], and for this it is necessary to have already carried out the patching argument on unitary groups, where the results of [BLGG11b], [GLS12a] can be applied.

This argument seems to us to be analogous to the use of the trace formula to prove instances of functoriality. We view the left hand side of the equality

$$e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,0,\tau}/\pi) = \sum_{\sigma} n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$$

as being the "geometric" side, and the right hand side as the "spectral" side. Then the geometric side is manifestly the same in the unitary group or Shimura curve settings, from which we deduce that the spectral sides are also the same, and thus transfer the proof of the Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis conjecture from the unitary group context to the original setting of [BDJ10].

We now outline the structure of the paper. In section 2 we recall the Breuil–Mézard conjecture, as well as various basic definitions pertaining to deformation rings and Serre weights. In section 3 we define the spaces of automorphic forms with which we will work. Section 4 contains our main arguments; we carry out a modified Taylor–Wiles–Kisin patching argument, and use the results of [Kis09b] and [Gee06] to prove global results on the Breuil–Mézard conjecture. We then prove our main local theorems by making use of potential automorphy results which are proved in Appendix A. We give the applications to the weight part of Serre's conjecture in Section 5.

We would like to thank Frank Calegari, Fred Diamond, Matthew Emerton, Guy Henniart, Florian Herzig and David Savitt for helpful conversations. We would also like to thank Matthew Emerton for his helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. T.G. would like to thank the mathematics department of Northwestern University for its hospitality in the final stages of this project.

1.1. **Notation.** Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, and an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for each prime p. Fix also an embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for each p. If M is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we let G_M denote its absolute Galois group. We write all matrix transposes on the left; so ${}^tA$ is the transpose of A. Let ε denote the p-adic cyclotomic character, and $\overline{\varepsilon} = \omega$ the mod p cyclotomic character. If M is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for some p, we write I_M for the inertia subgroup of G_M. If R is a local ring we write $\mathfrak{m}_R$ for the maximal ideal of R.

If F is a number field and v is a finite place of F then we let Frob_v denote a geometric Frobenius element of G_{F_v}.

If K is a p-adic field, W is a de Rham representation of G_K over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and if $\tau : K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ then we will write $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(W)$ for the multiset of Hodge–Tate numbers of W with respect to τ. By definition, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(W)$ contains i with multiplicity $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(W \otimes_{\tau,K} \widehat{\overline{K}}(i))^{G_K}$. Thus for example $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\varepsilon) = \{-1\}$.

If K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and E is a field of characteristic zero, then an inertial type is a representation $\tau : I_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ with open kernel, with the property that (possibly after replacing E with a finite extension) τ may be extended to a representation of the Weil group W_K. If K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for some p, we will let rec_p be the local Langlands correspondence of [HT01], so that if π is an irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, then $\mathrm{rec}_p(\pi)$ is a Weil–Deligne representation of the Weil group W_K. We let $\mathrm{Art}_K : K^\times \to W_K^{ab}$ be the isomorphism provided by local class field theory, which we normalise so that uniformisers correspond to geometric Frobenius elements. In particular, if π has central character χ_π, then $\det \mathrm{rec}_p(\pi) = \chi_\pi \circ \mathrm{Art}_K^{-1}$. If F is a number field, we write Art_F for the global Artin map, normalised to be compatible with the Art_{F_v}.

2. Potentially crystalline deformation rings.

In this section we recall the formulation of the Breuil–Mézard conjecture, or rather its generalization to finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (cf. [Kis10]).

We fix a finite extension $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ and residue field k. Let $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}$. In particular, we may regard $\mathbb{F}$ as a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, the residue field of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We assume throughout the paper that E is sufficiently large; in particular, we assume that E contains the image of every embedding $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and that various $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representations τ, $\sigma(\tau)$ that we consider are in fact defined over E.

2.1. The Breuil–Mézard conjecture.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^2_+$ denote the set of pairs (λ_1, λ_2) of integers with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$. Fix $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K,E)}$. Let B be a finite local E-algebra, and let V_B be a finite free B-module of rank two, with a continuous potentially semistable action of G_K. Then we say that V_B has *Hodge type* λ if for each $\tau : K \hookrightarrow E$, the Hodge–Tate weights of V_B with respect to τ are $\lambda_{\tau,1}+1$ and $\lambda_{\tau,2}$. If V_B has Hodge type 0, we say that V_B is *potentially Barsotti–Tate.* [1] Let $\tau : I_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ be an inertial type. We say that V_B is of *Galois type* τ if the traces of elements of I_K acting on $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V_B)$ and τ are equal.

Let $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation. Let $R^\square_{\bar{r}}$ be the universal $\mathcal{O}$-lifting ring of $\bar{r}$, so that $R^\square_{\bar{r}}$ pro-represents the functor which assigns to a local Artin $\mathcal{O}$-algebra R with residue field $\mathbb{F}$ the set of liftings of $\bar{r}$ to a representation $G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$.

The following is a special case of one of the main results of [Kis08].

---

[1] Note that this is a a slight abuse of terminology; however, we will have no reason to deal with representations with non-regular Hodge-Tate weights, and so we exclude them from consideration.

Proposition 2.1.1. *There is a unique (possibly zero) reduced and p-torsion free quotient $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$ of $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square}$ such that for any finite extension of fields E'/E, and any E-homomorphism $x : R_{\bar{r}}^{\square} \to E'$, the E'-representation of G_K induced by x is potentially crystalline of Galois type τ and Hodge type λ if and only if x factors through $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$.*

The irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}[1/p]$ *are formally smooth of dimension* $4 + [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

In the case that τ is the trivial representation, we will drop it from the notation, and write $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda}$ for $R^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$. We will need the following simple lemma later.

Lemma 2.1.2. *Let $\overline{\psi} : G_K \to \mathbb{F}^\times$ be an unramified character. Then the $\mathcal{O}$-algebras $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$ and $R_{\bar{r}\otimes(\overline{\psi}\circ\det)}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let ψ denote the Teichmüller lift of $\overline{\psi}$; since this character is unramified, it is crystalline with all Hodge–Tate weights equal to 0. If $r^{\mathrm{univ}} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau})$ is the universal lift of $\bar{r}$, then $r^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes (\psi \circ \det) : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau})$ is the universal lift of $\bar{r} \otimes (\overline{\psi} \circ \det)$, as required. $\square$

Let $\tau : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be an inertial type, as above. We have the following theorem of Henniart (see the appendix to [BM02]).

Theorem 2.1.3. *There is a unique finite-dimensional irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation $\sigma(\tau)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that for any 2-dimensional Frobenius semi-simple representation $\tilde{\tau}$ of WD_K, $(\mathrm{rec}_p^{-1}(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes |\det|^{1/2})|_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}$ contains $\sigma(\tau)$ if and only if $\tilde{\tau}|_{I_K} \sim \tau$ and $N = 0$ on $\tilde{\tau}$. Furthermore, for all $\tilde{\tau}$ we have*

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\sigma(\tau), \mathrm{rec}_p^{-1}(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes |\det|^{1/2}) \leq 1.$$

2.1.4. For $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K,E)}$ we set

$$W_\lambda = \otimes_\sigma \det{}^{\lambda_{\sigma,2}} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma,1}-\lambda_{\sigma,2}} \mathcal{O}_K^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K,\sigma} \mathcal{O}$$

where σ runs over the embeddings $K \hookrightarrow E$.

We say that an element $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\operatorname{Hom}(k,\mathbb{F})}$ is a *Serre weight* if for each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(k,\mathbb{F})$ we have

$$p - 1 \geq a_{\sigma,1} - a_{\sigma,2}.$$

Attached to each Serre weight a is the irreducible mod p representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$

$$F_a = \otimes_\sigma \det{}^{a_{\sigma,2}} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a_{\sigma,1}-a_{\sigma,2}} k^2 \otimes_{k,\sigma} \mathbb{F}$$

where σ runs over the embeddings $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Each absolutely irreducible mod p representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is isomorphic to F_a for some a, and the differences $a_{\sigma,1} - a_{\sigma,2}$ are determined by F_a. We say two Serre weights a and b are *equivalent* if $F_a \xrightarrow{\sim} F_b$.

Now assume that E is sufficiently large that $\sigma(\tau)$ is defined over E. Let π be a uniformiser of $\mathcal{O}$. Fix a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $L_{\lambda,\tau} \subset W_\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \sigma(\tau)$. If A is a Noetherian local ring, we let $e(A)$ denote the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of A.

Conjecture 2.1.5. (Breuil–Mézard) There exist non-negative integers $\mu_a(\bar{r})$ for each equivalence class of Serre weights a, such that for any τ, λ as above, we have

$$e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}/\pi) = \sum_a n(a)\mu_a(\bar{r}),$$

where a runs over equivalence classes of Serre weights, and

$$(L_{\lambda,\tau}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathbb{F})^{ss}\xrightarrow{\sim}\oplus_a F_a^{n(a)}.$$

2.1.6. The following definitions will be useful to us later, in order to give more explicit information about the $\mu_a(\bar{r})$ in some cases. We have a natural surjection $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K,E)\twoheadrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}\,(k,\mathbb{F})$, which is a bijection if and only if $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified. Suppose that $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ has ramification degree e. For each $\sigma\in\mathrm{Hom}\,(k,\mathbb{F})$, we choose an element $\tau_{\sigma,1}$ in the preimage of σ, and denote the remaining elements of the preimage by $\tau_{\sigma,2},\dots,\tau_{\sigma,e}$. Now, given $a\in(\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\mathrm{Hom}\,(k,\mathbb{F})}$, we define $\lambda_a\in(\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K,E)}$ as follows: For $i=1,2$, $\lambda_{a_{\tau_{\sigma,1},i}}=a_{\sigma,i}$, and $\lambda_{a_{\tau_{\sigma,j},i}}=0$ if $j>1$. When $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified, we will sometimes write a for λ_a.

By definition, we have $W_{\lambda_a}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathbb{F}\cong F_a$, and we write $R^{\square,a}$ for $R^{\square,\lambda_a}$. Note that in the case that $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is ramified this definition depends on the choice of the places $\tau_{\sigma,1}$, as does Definition 2.1.7 (at least *a priori*). However, our only use of this definition in the ramified case will be to prove that in certain cases $R^{\square,\lambda_a}$ is nonzero (cf. Lemma 4.3.9 below), and in these cases our argument will in fact show that this holds for any choice of the $\tau_{\sigma,1}$. Note also that $R^{\square,a}$ only depends on F_a, and not on the specific choice of a. To see this, take a,a' with $F_a\cong F_{a'}$. Then there is a crystalline character $\psi_{a,a'}$ of G_K with trivial reduction mod p and Hodge–Tate weights given by $\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_{\sigma,1}}(\psi_{a,a'})=a_{\sigma,2}-a'_{\sigma,2}$, and $\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_{\sigma,j}}(\psi_{a,a'})=0$ if $j>1$. The corresponding universal deformation to $R^{\square,a}$ is obtained from that to $R^{\square,a'}$ by twisting by $\psi_{a,a'}$.

If σ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}$-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ and $\sigma\cong F_a$, we will write $R^{\square,\sigma}$ for $R^{\square,a}$. The following definitions will be needed in order to state our main results.

Definition 2.1.7. We say that $\bar{r}$ has a *lift of Hodge type* σ if (with notation as above) $R^{\square,\sigma}\neq 0$.

Definition 2.1.8. Suppose that $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified. We say that a Serre weight a is *regular* if for each $\sigma\in\mathrm{Hom}\,(k,\mathbb{F})$, we have $p-2\geq a_{\sigma,1}-a_{\sigma,2}$. We say that it is *Fontaine–Laffaille regular* if for each $\sigma\in\mathrm{Hom}\,(k,\mathbb{F})$, we have $p-3\geq a_{\sigma,1}-a_{\sigma,2}$.

2.1.9. We remark that this is a significantly less restrictive definition than the definition of regular weights in [Gee11b].

In order to make use of the results of [GLS12b], [GLS12a], we need to recall the notion of a predicted Serre weight. Beginning with the seminal work of [BDJ10], various definitions have been formulated of conjectural sets of Serre weights for two-dimensional global mod p representations (cf. [Sch08], [Gee11a]). These sets are defined purely locally, and the relationship between the different local definitions is important in proving the weight part of Serre's conjecture; see Section 4 of [BLGG11b] for a thorough discussion. In particular, given a continuous representation $\bar{r}:G_K\to\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, sets of weights $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$ and $W^{\mathrm{cris}}(\bar{r})$ are defined in *loc. cit.* The set $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$ is defined by an explicit recipe that generalises those of [BDJ10], [Sch08] and [Gee11a], whereas the set $W^{\mathrm{cris}}(\bar{r})$ is the set of weights a for which $\bar{r}$ has a crystalline lift of Hodge type a. It is shown in [BLGG11b] that $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})\subset W^{\mathrm{cris}}(\bar{r})$, and conjectured that equality holds; this is known if $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified, by the main result of [GLS12a]. We make the following definition.

Definition 2.1.10. If $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is a continuous representation, we say that a is a *predicted Serre weight* for $\bar{r}$ if and only if $a \in W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$, where $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$ is the set of Serre weights defined in Section 4 of [BLGG11b].

3. ALGEBRAIC AUTOMORPHIC FORMS AND GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

3.1. **Unitary groups and algebraic automorphic forms.** Let $p > 2$ be a prime, and let F be an imaginary CM field with maximal totally real field subfield F^+. We assume throughout this paper that:

- F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places.
- Every place $v|p$ of F^+ splits in F.
- $[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.

Under these hypotheses, there is a reductive algebraic group G/F^+ with the following properties (cf. Section 2 of [BLGG11b]):

- G is an outer form of GL_2, with $G_{/F} \cong \mathrm{GL}_{2/F}$.
- If v is a finite place of F^+, G is quasi-split at v.
- If v is an infinite place of F^+, then $G_{/F_v^+} \cong U_{2/F_v^+}$.

3.1.1. As in section 3.3 of [CHT08] we define a model for G over $\mathcal{O}_{F^+}$.

If v is a place of F^+ which splits as ww^c over F, then as in *loc. cit.* we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$-algebras

$$\iota_w : G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})$$

with the property that $\iota_w \circ \iota_{w^c}^{-1}(x) = ({}^t x^c)^{-1}$. This extends to an isomorphism $\iota_w : G(F_v^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_2(F_w)$ with the same properties.

3.1.2. Continue to let $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a sufficiently large extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}$. Let S_p denote the set of places of F^+ lying over p, and for each $v \in S_p$ fix a place $\widetilde{v}$ of F lying over v. Let $\tilde{S}_p$ denote the set of places $\tilde{v}$ for $v \in S_p$. Write $F_p^+ = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\mathcal{O}_{F_p^+}$ for the p-adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_{F^+}$.

Let W be an $\mathcal{O}$-module with an action of $G(\mathcal{O}_{F_p^+})$, and let $U \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$ be a compact open subgroup with the property that for each $u \in U$, if u_p denotes the projection of u to $G(F_p^+)$, then $u_p \in G(\mathcal{O}_{F_p^+})$. Let $S(U, W)$ denote the space of algebraic modular forms on G of level U and weight W, i.e. the space of functions

$$f : G(F^+)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \to W$$

with $f(gu) = u_p^{-1} f(g)$ for all $u \in U$.

For any compact open subgroup U of $G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$ as above, we may write $G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) = \coprod_i G(F^+) t_i U$ for some finite set $\{t_i\}$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$S(U, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_i W^{U \cap t_i^{-1} G(F^+) t_i}$$

given by $f \mapsto (f(t_i))_i$. We say that U is *sufficiently small* if for some finite place v of F^+ the projection of U to $G(F_v^+)$ contains no element of finite order other than the identity. Suppose that U is sufficiently small. Then for each i as above we have $U \cap t_i^{-1} G(F^+) t_i = \{1\}$, so we see that for any $\mathcal{O}$-algebra A and $\mathcal{O}$-module W, we have

$$S(U, W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A) \cong S(U, W) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A.$$

3.1.3. Let $\widetilde{I}_p$ denote the set of embeddings $F \hookrightarrow E$ giving rise to a place in $\widetilde{S}_p$. For any $v \in S_p$, let $\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{v}}$ denote the set of elements of $\widetilde{I}_p$ lying over $\widetilde{v}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^2_+$ be as in Section 2. For any $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{v}}}$, let W_λ be the E-vector space with an action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\widetilde{v}}})$ given by

$$W_\lambda := \otimes_{\sigma \in \widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{v}}} \det{}^{\lambda_{\sigma,2}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma,1}-\lambda_{\sigma,2}} F^2_{\widetilde{v}} \otimes_{F_{\widetilde{v}},\sigma} E.$$

We give this an action of $G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,v})$ via $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$.

For any $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\widetilde{I}_p}$ and $v \in S_p$, let $\lambda_v \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{v}}}$ denote the tuple of pairs in λ indexed by $\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{v}}$, and let W_λ be the E-vector space with an action of $G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_p})$ given by

$$W_\lambda := \otimes_{v \in S_p} W_{\lambda_v}.$$

For each $v \in S_p$, let τ_v be an inertial type for G_{F_v}, so that (since E is assumed sufficiently large) there is an absolutely irreducible E-representation $\sigma(\tau_v)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ associated to τ_v by Theorem 2.1.3. Write $\sigma(\tau)$ for the tensor product of the $\sigma(\tau_v)$, regarded as a representation of $G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_p})$ by letting $G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_p})$ act on σ_v via $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$. Fix a $G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_p})$-stable Ø-lattice $L_{\lambda,\tau} \subset W_\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \sigma(\tau)$, and for any $\mathcal{O}$-module A, write

$$S_{\lambda,\tau}(U, A) := S(U, L_{\lambda,\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A).$$

3.2. **Hecke algebras and Galois representations.**

Definition 3.2.1. We say that a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty_{F^+})$ is *good* if $U = \prod_v U_v$ with U_v a compact open subgroup of $G(F^+_v)$ such that:

- $U_v \subset G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_v})$ for all v which split in F;
- $U_v = G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_v})$ if $v|p$;
- U_v is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G(F^+_v)$ if v is inert in F.

3.2.2. Let U be a good compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty_{F^+})$. Let T be a finite set of finite places of F^+ which split in F, containing S_p and all the places v which split in F for which $U_v \neq G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_v})$. We let $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ be the commutative $\mathcal{O}$-polynomial algebra generated by formal variables $T^{(j)}_w$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2$, w a place of F lying over a place v of F^+ which splits in F and is not contained in T. For any $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\widetilde{I}_p}$, the algebra $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ acts on $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})$ via the Hecke operators

$$T^{(j)}_w := \iota_w^{-1} \left[GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_w 1_j & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{2-j} \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \right]$$

for $w \notin T$ and ϖ_w a uniformiser in $\mathcal{O}_{F_w}$.

We denote by $\mathbb{T}^T_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})$ the image of $T^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O}))$.

3.2.3. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ with residue field $\mathbb{F}$. We say that $\mathfrak{m}$ is *automorphic* if $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ for some (λ, τ) as above. If $\bar{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is an absolutely irreducible continuous representation, we say that $\bar{r}$ is *automorphic* if there is an automorphic maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ such that for all places $v \notin T$ of F^+ which split as $v = ww^c$ in F, $\bar{r}(\mathrm{Frob}_w)$ has characteristic polynomial equal to the image of $X^2 - T^{(1)}_w X + (\mathbf{N}w)T^{(2)}_w$ in $\mathbb{F}[X]$. Note that if $\bar{r}$ is automorphic, it is necessarily the case that $\bar{r}^c \cong \bar{r}^\vee \bar{\varepsilon}^{-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_2$ be the group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined to be the semidirect product of $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ by the group $\{1, j\}$, which acts on $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ by

$$j(g, \mu)j^{-1} = (\mu^t g^{-1}, \mu).$$

We have a homomorphism $\nu : \mathcal{G}_2 \to \mathrm{GL}_1$, sending (g, μ) to μ and j to -1.

Assume that $\bar{r}$ is absolutely irreducible and automorphic with corresponding maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. By Lemma 2.1.4 of [CHT08] and the main result of [BC11] we can and do extend $\bar{r}$ to a representation $\overline{\rho} : G_{F^+} \to \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F})$ with $\nu \circ \overline{\rho} = \overline{\varepsilon}^{-1}$ and $\overline{\rho}|_{G_F} = (\bar{r}, \overline{\varepsilon}^{-1})$. By Lemma 2.1.4 of [CHT08], the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-conjugacy classes of such extensions are in bijection with $\mathbb{F}^\times/(\mathbb{F}^\times)^2$; we fix one choice of $\overline{\rho}$ from now on.

In the rest of the paper we will make a number of arguments that will be vacuous unless $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ for the specific (λ, τ) at hand, but for technical reasons we do not assume this. Let $G_{F^+,T} := \mathrm{Gal}\,(F(T)/F^+)$, $G_{F,T} := \mathrm{Gal}\,(F(T)/F)$, where $F(T)$ is the maximal extension of F unramified outside of places lying over T.

Theorem 3.2.4. *For any* (λ, τ) *there is a unique continuous lift*

$$\rho_\mathfrak{m} : G_{F^+,T} \to \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{T}^T_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m})$$

of $\overline{\rho}$*, which satisfies*

1. $\rho_\mathfrak{m}^{-1}((\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1)(\mathbb{T}^T_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m})) = G_{F,T}$.
2. $\nu \circ \rho_\mathfrak{m} = \varepsilon^{-1}$.
3. $\rho_\mathfrak{m}$ *is unramified outside* T*. If* $v \notin T$ *splits as* ww^c *in* F *then* $\rho_\mathfrak{m}(\mathrm{Frob}_w)$ *has characteristic polynomial*
$$X^2 - T^{(1)}_w X + (\mathbf{N}w)T^{(2)}_w.$$
4. *For each place* $v \in S_p$*, and each homomorphism* $x : \mathbb{T}^T_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $x \circ \rho_\mathfrak{m}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ *is potentially crystalline of Hodge type* λ_v *and Galois type* τ_v*.*

Proof. This may be proved in the same way as Proposition 3.4.4 of [CHT08], making use of Corollaire 5.3 of [Lab09], Theorem 1.1 of [BLGGT12], and Theorem 2.1.3 above. □

3.3. **Serre weights.** We say that an element $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\widetilde{I}_p}$ is a *global Serre weight* if for each $\sigma \in \widetilde{I}_p$, we have

$$p - 1 \geq a_{\sigma,1} - a_{\sigma,2}.$$

For $v \in S_p$, denote by k_v the residue field of v, and note that $\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{v}}$ naturally surjects onto $\mathrm{Hom}\,(k_v, \mathbb{F})$. If $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)^{\widetilde{I}_p}$ is a Serre weight, we set

$$F_a = \otimes_\mathbb{F} F_{a_v},$$

where F_{a_v} is the representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k_v)$ defined in Section 2. We let $G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_p})$ act on F_{a_v} by the composite of $\iota_{\widetilde{v}}$ and reduction modulo p, which makes F_a an irreducible $\mathbb{F}$-representation of $G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_p})$. We say that two global Serre weights a, b are *equivalent* if $F_a \cong F_b$; this implies that $a_{\sigma,1} - a_{\sigma,2} = b_{\sigma,1} - b_{\sigma,2}$ for each $\sigma \in \widetilde{I}_p$.

4. The patching argument

4.1. **Hecke algebras.** In this section we employ the Taylor–Wiles–Kisin patching method, following the approaches of [Kis09a] and [BLGG11a] (which in turn follows [CHT08]). In particular, in the actual implementation of the patching method we follow [BLGG11a] very closely.

4.1.1. Continue to assume that F is an imaginary CM field with maximal totally real field subfield F^+ such that:

- F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places.
- Every place $v|p$ of F^+ splits in F.
- $[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.

Let $G_{/\mathcal{O}_{F^+}}$ be the algebraic group defined in section 3.2.

Fix an absolutely irreducible representation $\bar{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\bar{r}^c \cong \bar{r}^\vee \bar{\varepsilon}^{-1}$. Assume that

- $\bar{r}$ is automorphic in the sense of Section 3.2.
- $\bar{r}$ is unramified at all primes $v \nmid p$.
- $\zeta_p \notin F$.

Let U be a good compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty_{F^+})$ (see Definition 3.2.1) such that if $U_v \subset G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ is not maximal for some $v \nmid p$, then

- U_v is the preimage of the upper triangular unipotent matrices under
$$G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_v}) \to G(k_v) \underset{\iota_w}{\overset{\sim}{\to}} \mathrm{GL}_2(k_v))$$
where w is a place of F over v.
- v does not split completely in $F(\zeta_p)$.
- The ratio of the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ is not equal to $(\mathbf{N}v)^{\pm 1}$.

Finally, we assume that U_{v_1} is not maximal for some place $v_1 \nmid p$ of F such that

- for any non-trivial root of unity ζ in a quadratic extension of F, v_1 does not divide $\zeta + \zeta^{-1} - 2$.

Note that under these assumptions, U is sufficiently small. Note also that by Lemma 4.11 of [DDT97], it is always possible to choose a place v_1 which satisfies these hypotheses.

4.1.2. Continue to let E be a sufficiently large finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}$, and assume in particular that E is large enough that $\bar{r}$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}$. As in section 3, we have a fixed set of places $\widetilde{S}_p$ of F dividing p, and we let $\widetilde{I}_p$ denote the set of embeddings $F \hookrightarrow E$ giving rise to an element of $\widetilde{S}_p$. Let R denote the set of places v of F^+ for which $U_v \neq G(\mathcal{O}_{F^+_v})$, write $T = S_p \coprod R$, and define the Hecke $\mathcal{O}$-algebra $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ as above.

Fix a weight $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}^2_+)_0^{\mathrm{Hom}\,(F,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}$, and for each place $v|p$, fix an inertial type τ_v of I_{F_v}.

We note that our assumptions on $\bar{r}$ and on U_v at the places v at which U_v is not maximal imply that $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is locally free over $\mathbb{T}^T_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m}[1/p]$ of rank $2^{|R|}$ (cf. Lemma 1.6(2) of [Tay06]).

4.2. Deformations to $\mathcal{G}_2$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_2$ be as in section 3.2.3 and extend $\bar{r}$ to a representation $\overline{\rho} : G_{F^+} \to \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F})$ with $\nu \circ \overline{\rho} = \overline{\varepsilon}^{-1}$ and $\overline{\rho}|_{G_F} = (\bar{r}, \overline{\varepsilon}^{-1})$ as in section 3.2.3.

4.2.1. Let $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$ denote the category of complete local Noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebras with residue field isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}$ via the structure map. Let S be a set of places of F^+ which split in F, containing all places dividing p. Regard $\overline{\rho}$ as a representation of $G_{F^+,S}$. As in Definition 1.2.1 of [CHT08], we define

- a *lifting* of $\overline{\rho}$ to an object A of $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$ to be a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{F^+,S} \to \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ lifting $\overline{\rho}$ and with $\nu \circ \rho = \varepsilon^{-1}$;

- two liftings ρ, ρ' of $\overline{\rho}$ to A to be *equivalent* if they are conjugate by an element of $\ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$;
- a *deformation* of $\overline{\rho}$ to an object A of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ to be an equivalence class of liftings.

Similarly, if $T \subset S$, we define

- a *T-framed lifting* of $\overline{\rho}$ to A to be a tuple $(\rho, \{\alpha_v\}_{v\in T})$ where ρ is a lifting of $\overline{\rho}$ and $\alpha_v \in \ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$ for $v \in T$;
- two T-framed liftings $(\rho, \{\alpha_v\}_{v\in T})$, $(\rho', \{\alpha'_v\}_{v\in T})$ to be *equivalent* if there is an element $\beta \in \ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$ with $\rho' = \beta\rho\beta^{-1}$ and $\alpha'_v = \beta\alpha_v$ for $v \in T$;
- a *T-framed deformation* of $\overline{\rho}$ to be an equivalence class of T-framed liftings.

4.2.2. For each place $v \in T$ we choose a place $\widetilde{v}$ of F above v, extending the choices made for $v \in S_p$. Let $\widetilde{T}$ denote the set of places $\widetilde{v}$, $v \in T$. For each $v \in T$, we let $R^{\square}_{\widetilde{v}}$ denote the universal $\mathcal{O}$-lifting ring of $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$. For each $v \in S_p$, write $R^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}_{\widetilde{v}}$ for $R^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}_{\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}}$.

We now recall from sections 2.2 and 2.3 of [CHT08] the notion of a *deformation problem*

$$\mathcal{S}' := (L/L^+, T', \widetilde{T}', \mathcal{O}, \bar{r}, \chi, \{R_{\mathcal{S}',\widetilde{v}}\}_{v\in T'}).$$

This data consists of

- an imaginary CM field L with maximal totally real subfield L^+.
- a finite set of finite places T' of L^+, each of which splits in L.
- a finite set of finite places $\widetilde{T}'$ of L, consisting of exactly one place lying over each place in T'.
- the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ of a finite extension E of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (assumed sufficiently large).
- $\bar{r} : G_{L^+,T'} \to \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F})$ a continuous homomorphism such that $\bar{r}^{-1}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{F})) = G_{L,T'}$, and $\bar{r}|_{G_{L,T'}}$ is irreducible.
- $\chi : G_{L^+,T'} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ a continuous character lifting $\nu \circ \bar{r}$.
- for each place $v \in T'$, a quotient $R_{\mathcal{S}',\widetilde{v}}$ of $R^{\square}_{\widetilde{v}}$ by an $1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_{R^{\square}_{\widetilde{v}}})$-invariant ideal.

For any deformation problem $\mathcal{S}'$ as above, there is a universal deformation $\mathcal{O}$-algebra $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ and a universal deformation $r^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}'} : G_{L^+,T'} \to \mathcal{G}_2(R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}})$ of $\bar{r}$, which is universal for deformations r of $\bar{r}$ with $\nu \circ r = \chi$ which satisfy the additional property that for each $v \in T'$, the point of $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\square}_{\widetilde{v}}$ corresponding to $r|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ is a point of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\mathcal{S}',\widetilde{v}}$.

Returning to our specific situation, consider the deformation problem

$$\mathcal{S} := (F/F^+, T, \widetilde{T}, \mathcal{O}, \overline{\rho}, \varepsilon^{-1}, \{R^{\square}_{\widetilde{v}}\}_{v\in R} \cup \{R^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}_{\widetilde{v}}\}_{v\in S_p}).$$

(The quotients $R^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}_{\widetilde{v}}$ satisfy the condition above by Lemma 3.2.3 of [GG12].) There is a corresponding universal deformation $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}} : G_{F^+,T} \to \mathcal{G}_2(R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}})$ of $\overline{\rho}$.

The lifting of Theorem 3.2.4 and the universal property of $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}}$ gives an $\mathcal{O}$-homomorphism

$$R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^T_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

which is surjective by Theorem 3.2.4(3).

4.3. **Patching.**

4.3.1. In order to apply the Taylor–Wiles–Kisin method, and in particular to choose the auxilliary primes used in the patching argument, it is necessary to make an assumption on the image of the global mod p representation $\bar{r}$. In our setting, it will be convenient for us to use the notion of an *adequate* subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, which is defined in [Tho10]. We will not need to make use of the actual definition; instead, we recall the following classification.

Proposition 4.3.2. *Suppose that $p > 2$ is a prime, and that $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a finite subgroup which acts irreducibly on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^2$. Then precisely one of the following is true:*

- *We have $p = 3$, and the image of G in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3)$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$.*
- *We have $p = 5$, and the image of G in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_5)$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$.*
- *G is adequate.*

Proof. This is Proposition A.2.1 of [BLGG11b]. □

Assume from now on that

- $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate.

We wish to consider auxiliary sets of primes in order to apply the Taylor–Wiles–Kisin patching method. Let $(Q, \widetilde{Q}, \{\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}\}_{v\in Q})$ be a triple where

- Q is a finite set of finite places of F^+ which is disjoint from T and consists of places which split in F;
- $\widetilde{Q}$ consists of a single place $\widetilde{v}$ of F above each place v of F^+;
- for each $v \in Q$, $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}} \cong \overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}} \oplus \overline{\psi}'_{\widetilde{v}}$ where $\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}} \neq \overline{\psi}'_{\widetilde{v}}$, and $\mathbf{N}v \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

For each $v \in Q$, let $R_v^{\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}}$ denote the quotient of $R_v^{\square}$ corresponding to lifts $r : G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ which are $\ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$-conjugate to a lift of the form $\psi \oplus \psi'$, where ψ is a lift of $\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}$ and ψ' is an unramified lift of $\overline{\psi}'_{\widetilde{v}}$. We let $\mathcal{S}_Q$ denote the deformation problem

$$\mathcal{S}_Q = (F/F^+, T \cup Q, \widetilde{T} \cup \widetilde{Q}, \mathcal{O}, \overline{\rho}, \varepsilon^{-1}, \{R^{\square}_{\widetilde{v}}\}_{v\in R} \cup \{R^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}_{\widetilde{v}}\}_{v\in S_p} \cup \{R^{\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}}\}_{v\in Q}).$$

We let $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}_Q}$ denote the corresponding universal deformation ring, and we let $R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}_Q}$ denote the corresponding universal T-framed deformation ring.

We define

$$R^{\mathrm{loc}} := \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in S_p} R^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}_{\widetilde{v}}\right) \widehat{\otimes} \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in R} R^{\square}_{\bar{r}|_{G_{L_{\widetilde{v}}}}}\right)$$

where all completed tensor products are taken over $\mathcal{O}$.

Remark 4.3.3. Let $v \in R$. Since we have assumed that the ratio of the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ is not equal to $(\mathbf{N}v)^{\pm 1}$, a standard argument shows that $R^{\square}_{\bar{r}|_{G_{L_{\widetilde{v}}}}}$ is formally smooth of relative dimension 4 over $\mathcal{O}$. Applying Proposition 2.1.1 above and Lemma 3.3 of [BLGHT11], we see that R^{loc} is equidimensional of dimension $1 + 4\#T + [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$, and $R^{\mathrm{loc}}[1/p]$ is formally smooth.

4.3.4. For each finite place w of F, let $U_0(w)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,w})$ consisting of matrices congruent to $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ modulo w, and let $U_1(w)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,w})$ consisting of matrices congruent to $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ modulo w. Let

$U_0(Q) = \prod_v U_0(Q)_v$ and $U_1(Q) = \prod_v U_1(Q)_v$ be the compact open subgroups of $G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}_{F^+})$ defined by (for $i = 0$, 1) $U_i(Q)_v = U_v$ if $v \notin Q$, and $U_i(Q)_v = \iota_{\widetilde{v}}^{-1} U_i(\widetilde{v})$ if $v \in Q$.

We have natural maps

$$\mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U_0(Q),\mathcal{O}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^{T}_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O}).$$

Thus $\mathfrak{m}$ determines maximal ideals of the first three algebras in this sequence which we denote by $\mathfrak{m}'_Q$ for the first two and $\mathfrak{m}$ for the third. Note also that $\mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathbb{T}^{T}_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by the proof of Corollary 3.4.5 of [CHT08].

4.3.5. For each $v \in Q$ choose an element $\varphi_{\widetilde{v}} \in G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}$ lifting the geometric Frobenius element of $G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}/I_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}$ and let $\varpi_{\widetilde{v}} \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}$ be the uniformiser with $\mathrm{Art}_{F_{\widetilde{v}}} \varpi_{\widetilde{v}} = \varphi_{\widetilde{v}}|_{F^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\widetilde{v}}}$. Let $P_{\widetilde{v}}(X) \in \mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}[X]$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}(\varphi_{\widetilde{v}})$. By Hensel's lemma, we can factor $P_{\widetilde{v}}(X) = (X - A_{\widetilde{v}})(X - B_{\widetilde{v}})$ where $A_{\widetilde{v}}$ lifts $\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}(\varphi_{\widetilde{v}})$ and $B_{\widetilde{v}}$ lifts $\overline{\psi}'_{\widetilde{v}}(\varphi_{\widetilde{v}})$.

For $i = 0, 1$ and $\alpha \in F_{\widetilde{v}}$ of non-negative valuation, consider the Hecke operator

$$V_\alpha := \iota_{\widetilde{v}}^{-1}\left(\left[U_i(\widetilde{v})\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & \alpha\end{pmatrix}U_i(\widetilde{v})\right]\right)$$

on $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_i(Q),\mathcal{O})$. Denote by $\mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U_i(Q),\mathcal{O})' \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_i(Q),\mathcal{O}))$ the $\mathcal{O}$-subalgebra of generated by $\mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O})$ and the $V_{\varpi_{\widetilde{v}}}$ for $v \in Q$. We denote by $\mathfrak{m}_Q$ the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U_i(Q),\mathcal{O})'$ generated by $\mathfrak{m}'_Q$ and the $V_{\varpi_{\widetilde{v}}} - A_{\widetilde{v}}$. Write $\mathbb{T}_{1,Q} := \mathbb{T}^{T\cup Q}_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$.

Let Δ_Q denote the maximal p-power order quotient of $U_0(Q)/U_1(Q)$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_Q$ denote the kernel of the augmentation map $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q] \to \mathcal{O}$. Exactly as in the proof of the sublemma of Theorem 3.6.1 of [BLGG11a], we have:

1. The natural map

   $$\prod_{v\in Q}(V_{\varpi_{\widetilde{v}}} - A_{\widetilde{v}}) : S_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \to S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_0(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$$

   is an isomorphism.
2. $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$ is free over $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ with

   $$S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}/\mathfrak{a}_Q \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_0(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\lambda,\tau}(U,\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$
3. For each $v \in Q$, there is a character with open kernel $V_{\widetilde{v}} : F_{\widetilde{v}}^{\times} \to \mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^{\times}$ so that
   (a) For each element $\alpha \in F_{\widetilde{v}}^{\times}$ of non-negative valuation, $V_\alpha = V_{\widetilde{v}}(\alpha)$ on $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$.
   (b) If $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$ is the representation defined in Theorem 3.2.4, then $(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_Q} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{1,Q})|_{W_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}} \cong \psi \oplus (V_{\widetilde{v}} \circ \mathrm{Art}_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}^{-1})$ with ψ an unramified lift of $\overline{\psi}'_{\widetilde{v}}$ and $(V_{\widetilde{v}} \circ \mathrm{Art}_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}^{-1})$ lifting $\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}$.

4.3.6. The above shows, in particular, that the lift $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_Q} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{1,Q}$ of $\overline{\rho}$ is of type $\mathcal{S}_Q$ and gives rise to a surjection $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}_Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{1,Q}$. We think of $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q),\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$ as an $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}_Q}$-module via this map.

Thinking of Δ_Q as the product of the inertia subgroups in the maximal abelian p-power order quotient of $\prod_{v\in Q} G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}$, the determinant of any choice of universal deformation $r^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_Q}$ gives rise to a homomorphism $\Delta_Q \to (R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_Q})^\times$. We thus have homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q] \to R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_Q} \to R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}_Q}$$

and natural isomorphisms $R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_Q}/\mathfrak{a}_Q \cong R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}_Q}/\mathfrak{a}_Q \cong R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}}$.

4.3.7. We have assumed that $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate, or equivalently (because we are considering 2-dimensional representations) big in the terminology of [CHT08]. By Proposition 2.5.9 of [CHT08], this implies that we can (and do) choose an integer $q \geq [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ and for each $N \geq 1$ a tuple $(Q_N, \widetilde{Q}_N, \{\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}\}_{v \in Q_N})$ as above such that

- $\# Q_N = q$ for all N;
- $\mathbf{N}v \equiv 1 \mod p^N$ for $v \in Q_N$;
- the ring $R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}$ can be topologically generated over $R^{\rm loc}$ by $q - [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ elements.

We will apply the above constructions to each of these tuples $(Q_N, \widetilde{Q}_N, \{\overline{\psi}_{\widetilde{v}}\}_{v \in Q_N})$.

Choose a lift $r^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}} : G_{F^+,S} \to \mathcal{G}_2(R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}})$ representing the universal deformation. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}[[X_{v,i,j} : v \in T, i, j = 1, 2]].$$

The tuple $(r^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}}, (1_2 + X_{v,i,j})_{v \in T})$ gives rise to an isomorphism $R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}$. (Note that the action of j in the group $\mathcal{G}_2$ implies that this tuple has no non-trivial scalar endomorphisms.) For each N, choose a lift $r^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}} : G_{F^+} \to \mathcal{G}_2(R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}})$ representing the universal deformation with $r^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}} \mod \mathfrak{a}_{Q_N} = r^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}}$. This gives rise to an isomorphism $R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}$ which reduces modulo $\mathfrak{a}_{Q_N}$ to the isomorphism $R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}$.

We let

$$\begin{aligned} M &= S_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}}} R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}}, \\ M_N &= S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q), \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_{Q_N}} \otimes_{R^{\rm univ}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}} R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then M_N is a finite free $\mathcal{T}[\Delta_{Q_N}]$-module with $M_N/\mathfrak{a}_{Q_N} \cong M$, compatibly with the isomorphism $R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}/\mathfrak{a}_{Q_N} \cong R^{\square_T}_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Fix a filtration by $\mathbb{F}$-subspaces

$$0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_s = L_{\lambda,\tau} \otimes \mathbb{F}$$

such that each L_i is $G(\mathcal{O}_{F_p^+})$-stable, and for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1$, $\sigma_i := L_{i+1}/L_i$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of $G(\mathcal{O}_{F_p^+})$. This in turn induces a filtration on $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$ (respectively $S_{\lambda,\tau}(U_1(Q_N), \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_{Q_N}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$) whose graded pieces are the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-vector spaces $S(U, \sigma_i)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (respectively the finite free $\mathbb{F}[\Delta_{Q_N}]$-modules $S(U_1(Q_N), \sigma_i)_{\mathfrak{m}_{Q_N}}$). By extension of scalars we obtain filtrations on $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$ and $M_N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$, which we denote by

$$0 = M^0 \subset M^1 \subset \cdots \subset M^s = M \otimes \mathbb{F}$$

and

$$0 = M_N^0 \subset M_N^1 \subset \cdots \subset M_N^s = M_N \otimes \mathbb{F}.$$

Let $g = q - [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\infty &= \mathbb{Z}_p^q, \\ R_\infty &= R^{\mathrm{loc}}[[x_1, \dots, x_g]], \\ R'_\infty &= \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in T} R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square}\right)[[x_1, \dots, x_g]], \\ S_\infty &= \mathcal{T}[[\Delta_\infty]], \end{aligned}$$

and let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the kernel of the $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism $S_\infty \to \mathcal{O}$ which sends each $X_{v,i,j}$ to 0 and each element of Δ_∞ to 1. Note that S_∞ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ of relative dimension $q + 4\#T$, and that R_∞ is a quotient of R'_∞. For each N, choose a surjection $\Delta_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_{Q_N}$ and let $\mathfrak{c}_N$ denote the kernel of the corresponding homomorphism $S_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}[\Delta_{Q_N}]$. For each $N \geq 1$, choose a surjection of R^{loc}-algebras

$$R_\infty \twoheadrightarrow R_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}^{\square_T}.$$

We regard each $R_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}^{\square_T}$ as an S_∞-algebra via $S_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}[\Delta_{Q_N}] \to R_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}^{\square_T}$. In particular, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{Q_N}}^{\square_T}/\mathfrak{a} \cong R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathrm{univ}}$.

Now a patching argument as in [Kis09a] 2.2.9 shows that there exists

- an S_∞-module M_∞ which is simultaneously an R_∞-module such that the image of R_∞ in M_∞ is an S_∞-algebra,
- a filtration by R_∞-modules
$$0 = M_\infty^0 \subset M_\infty^1 \subset \cdots \subset M_\infty^s = M_\infty \otimes \mathbb{F}$$
whose graded pieces are finite free S_∞-modules,
- a surjection of R^{loc}-algebras $R_\infty/\mathfrak{a}R_\infty \to R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathrm{univ}}$, and
- an isomorphism of R_∞-modules $M_\infty/\mathfrak{a}M_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ which identifies M^i with $M_\infty^i/\mathfrak{a}M_\infty^i$

We claim that we can make the above construction so that for $i = 1, 2, \dots s$, the (R'_∞, S_∞)-bimodule $M_\infty^i/M_\infty^{i-1}$ and the isomorphism $M_\infty^i/(\mathfrak{a}M_\infty^i, M_\infty^{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^i/M^{i-1}$ depends only on $(U, \mathfrak{m}$ and) the isomorphism class of L_i/L_{i-1} as a $G(\O_{F_p^+})$-representation, but not on (λ, τ). For any finite collection of pairs (λ, τ) this follows by the same finiteness argument used during patching. Since the set of (λ, τ) is countable, the claim follows from a diagonalization argument.

For a a global Serre weight, we denote by M_∞^a the $R_\infty/\pi R_\infty$-module constructed above when $L_i/L_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_a$, and we set

$$\mu'_a(\bar{r}) = 2^{-|R|} e_{R_\infty/\pi}(M_\infty^a).$$

Lemma 4.3.8. *For each a, $\mu'_a(\bar{r})$ is a non-negative integer. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *The support of M meets every irreducible component of* $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\mathrm{loc}}[1/p]$.
2. *$M_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a faithfully flat $R_\infty[1/p]$-module which is everywhere locally free of rank $2^{|R|}$.*
3. *$R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is a finite $\O$-algebra and $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a faithful $R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathrm{univ}}[1/p]$-module.*
4. $$e(R_\infty/\pi R_\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} 2^{-|R|} e_{R_\infty/\pi}(M_\infty^{a_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mu'_{a_i}(\bar{r})$$
where a_i is a global Serre weight with $L_i/L_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{a_i}$.

Proof. By Remark 4.3.3, $R_\infty[1/p]$ is formally smooth of dimension $q + 4|T| = \dim S_\infty[1/p]$. Since M_∞ is free over S_∞, the module $M_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ has depth $q + 4|T|$ at every maximal ideal of $R_\infty[1/p]$ in its support. Hence $M_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is finite flat over $R_\infty[1/p]$.

If $Z \subset \operatorname{Spec} R_\infty[1/p]$ is an irreducible component in the support of M_∞, then Z is finite over $\operatorname{Spec} S_\infty[1/p]$ and of the same dimension. Hence the map $Z \to \operatorname{Spec} S_\infty[1/p]$ is surjective. As $M_\infty/\mathfrak{a}M_\infty = M$ has rank $2^{|R|}$ over any point of $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}}[1/p]$, $M_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ has rank $2^{|R|}$ over Z. This shows that (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Using Proposition 1.3.4 of [Kis09a] we also see that each $\mu'_a(\bar{r})$ is a non-negative integer, and that

$$e(R_\infty/\pi R_\infty) \geq 2^{-|R|} e_{R_\infty/\pi}(M_\infty/\pi M_\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} 2^{-|R|} e_{R_\infty/\pi}(M_\infty^{a_i})$$

with equality if and only if M_∞ is a faithful R_∞-module or, equivalently, if and only if the support of M meets every irreducible component of $R_\infty[1/p]$. So (1) and (4) are equivalent.

Finally, if M_∞ is a faithful R_∞-module, then R_∞ is finite over S_∞, and so $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}}$, which is a quotient of $R_\infty/\mathfrak{a}$, is a finite Ø-module. This shows that (2) implies (3). Conversely, assuming $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a finite Ø-algebra, we see by Proposition 1.5.1 of [BLGGT10] that the image of

$$\operatorname{Spec} R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}} \to \operatorname{Spec} R^{\mathrm{loc}}$$

meets every component of $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\mathrm{loc}}[1/p]$. Hence if $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a faithful $R^{\mathrm{univ}}_{\mathcal{S}}$-module, then $M_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a faithful $R_\infty[1/p]$-module, which proves (1). □

The following lemma will be useful below in order to determine the $\mu'_a(\bar{r})$ more precisely in some situations.

Lemma 4.3.9. *The multiplicity $\mu'_a(\bar{r})$ is nonzero if and only if $S(U, F_a)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$. If this holds, then for each place $v|p$ of F, $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ has a crystalline lift of Hodge type a_v in the sense of Definition 2.1.7.*

Proof. By definition, $\mu'_a(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ if and only if $M^a_\infty \neq 0$. Moreover, $M^a_\infty \neq 0$ if and only if $M^a_\infty/\mathfrak{a}M^a_\infty \neq 0$, and $M^a_\infty/\mathfrak{a}M^a_\infty \cong S(U, F_a)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by definition, so we indeed have $\mu'_a(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ if and only if $S(U, F_a)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$.

For the second part, note that since U is sufficiently small, we have $S(U, F_a)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ if and only if $S_{\lambda_a,1}(U, \mathcal{O}) \neq 0$, where λ_a is defined in Section 2, and 1 denotes the trivial type. The result then follows at once from Theorem 3.2.4(4). □

4.4. **Potential diagonalizability.** We now use the methods of [BLGGT10] to show that the equivalent conditions of Lemma 4.3.8 are frequently achieved. We begin by recalling the definition of *potential diagonalizability*, a notion defined in [BLGGT10]. We will use this definition here for convenience, as it allows us to make use of certain results from [BLGG11b], and to easily argue simultaneously in the potentially Barsotti–Tate and Fontaine–Laffaille cases. Suppose that $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a finite extension, that $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is sufficiently large with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}$, and that $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ are two continuous representations. We say that ρ_1 *connects* to ρ_2 if all of the following hold:

- ρ_1 and ρ_2 are both crystalline of the same Hodge type λ,

- $\overline{\rho}_1 \cong \overline{\rho}_2$, and
- ρ_1 and ρ_2 define points on the same irreducible component of $R^{\square,\lambda}_{\overline{\rho}_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

We say that $\rho : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ is *diagonal* if it is a direct sum of crystalline characters, and we say that ρ is *diagonalizable* if it connects to some diagonal representation. Finally, we say that ρ is *potentially diagonalizable* if there is a finite extension L/K such that $\rho|_{G_L}$ is diagonalizable. We say that a representation $G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ is potentially diagonalizable if the representation on some G_K-invariant lattice is potentially diagonalizable; this is independent of the choice of lattice by Lemma 1.2.1 of [BLGGT10].

The following two lemmas, which rely on our earlier papers, are the key to our applications of Lemma 4.3.8 to the Breuil–Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti–Tate representations.

Lemma 4.4.1. *If $\rho : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ is potentially Barsotti–Tate, then it is potentially diagonalizable.*

Proof. Choose a finite extension L/K such that L contains a primitive p-th root of unity, and $\overline{\rho}|_{G_L}$ is trivial. Then $\overline{\rho}|_{G_L}$ has a decomposable ordinary crystalline lift of Hodge type 0, namely $\rho_1 := 1 \oplus \varepsilon^{-1}$. Extending L if necessary, we may also assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{G_L}$ has a decomposable non-ordinary crystalline lift of Hodge type 0, say ρ_2.By Proposition 2.3 of [Gee06] and Corollary 2.5.16 of [Kis09b] we see that $\rho|_{G_L}$ connects to one of ρ_1, ρ_2, and in either case ρ is potentially diagonalizable by definition. $\square$

Lemma 4.4.2.

(1) *If $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified and a is not a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$, then $R^{\square,a}_{\bar{r}} = 0$. In particular, it is vacuously the case that every crystalline representation $\rho : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ of Hodge type a which lifts $\overline{\rho}$ is potentially diagonalizable.*

(2) *If $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified and a is regular in the sense of Definition 2.1.8 then every crystalline representation $\rho : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ of Hodge type a which lifts $\overline{\rho}$ is potentially diagonalizable.*

Proof. In the case that a is not a predicted Serre weight for $\overline{\rho}$, the main result of [GLS12a] shows that there are no crystalline lifts of $\overline{\rho}$ of Hodge type a, and the result follows.

If $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified and a is regular, then the result follows immediately from the main theorem of [GL12]. $\square$

We will apply these results by using the following corollary of the methods of [BLGGT10]. We maintain the notations and assumptions of the rest of this section.

Corollary 4.4.3. *Suppose that $\bar{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ satisfies the above assumptions, and that for each place $v|p$, every lift of $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ of Hodge type λ_v and Galois type τ_v is potentially diagonalizable. Then the equivalent conditions of Lemma 4.3.8 hold.*

Proof. We will show that Lemma 4.3.8(1) holds, i.e. that the support of M meets every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\mathrm{loc}}[1/p]$. By the correspondence between our algebraic automorphic forms and automorphic forms on GL_2 (which is explained in detail in section 2 of [BLGG11b]), this is equivalent to the statement that if we make for each place $v|p$ of F^+ any choice of component $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\widetilde{v}}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}_{\widetilde{v}}[1/p]$, there is a continuous lift $r : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ of $\bar{r}$ such that

- $r^c \equiv r^\vee \varepsilon^{-1}$,
- r is unramified at all places not dividing p,
- for each place $v|p$ of F^+, $r|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ corresponds to a point of $R_{\widetilde{v}}$, and
- r is automorphic in the sense of [BLGGT10].

In order to construct such a lift, we apply Theorem A.4.1 of [BLGG11b]. It is here that we use the assumption that every lift of $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ of Hodge type λ_v and Galois type τ_v is potentially diagonalizable, as we need to know that the points of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\widetilde{v}}$ correspond to potentially diagonalizable lifts in order to satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem A.4.1.

In view of this assumption, in order to apply this result, we need only construct an auxilliary automorphic Galois representation r' (which will be the representation $r_{l,\imath}(\pi')$ in the statement of Theorem A.4.1 of [BLGG11b]). By Lemma 3.1.1 of [BLGG11b], we may choose a solvable extension F_1/F of CM fields such that

- F_1 is linearly disjoint from $\bar{F}^{\ker \operatorname{ad} \bar{r}}(\zeta_p)$ over F.
- there is a continuous lift $r' : G_{F_1} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ of $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_1}}$ such that r' is automorphic, and for each place $w|p$ of F_1, $r'|_{G_{F_{1,w}}}$ is potentially diagonalizable.

We may now apply Theorem A.4.1 of [BLGG11b], which supplies the required lift r (note that since $r|_{G_{F_1}}$ is automorphic and the extension F_1/F is solvable, r is automorphic by Lemma 1.4 of [BLGHT11]). ☐

4.5. **Local results.** We will now combine Corollary 4.4.3 with the local-to-global results of Appendix A to prove our main local results. We begin with a lemma from linear algebra, for which we need to establish some notation. Given a vector space V with a choice of basis, we let $V_{\geq 0}$ denote the cone spanned by nonnegative linear combinations of the basis elements. If V, W are vector spaces with choices of bases, then we will choose the corresponding tensor basis for $V \otimes W$, and define $(V \otimes W)_{\geq 0}$ accordingly. We let $(\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0})^{\otimes n}$ denote the image of $\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$ under the natural diagonal map $\mathbb{Q}^m \to (\mathbb{Q}^m)^{\otimes n}$.

Lemma 4.5.1. *Let k be a field.*

1. *If for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have injective linear maps $\alpha_i : V_i \hookrightarrow W_i$ between k-vector spaces, then $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n : V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n \to W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_n$ is also injective.*
2. *If for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have linear maps $\alpha_i : V_i \to W_i$ between k-vector spaces and nonzero elements $w_i \in W_i$ such that*
$$w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \in \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n),$$
then for each i, $w_i \in \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_i)$.
3. *Let I be a (possibly infinite) set and $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}^I_{\geq 0}$ a map that extends to an injective linear map $\alpha : \mathbb{Q}^m \to \mathbb{Q}^I$. Suppose that $v \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, with $\alpha(v) \in \mathbb{Z}^I_{\geq 0}$ and that for some $n \geq 1$, v satisfies $v^{\otimes n} \in (\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0})^{\otimes n}$. Then $v \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$.*

Proof. (1) By induction, it suffices to treat the case $n = 2$. Then we can factor $\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2$ as the composite

$$V_1 \otimes V_2 \to W_1 \otimes V_2 \to W_1 \otimes W_2$$

of two maps which are each injective.

(2) For each $j \neq i$, choose $\varphi_j \in W_j^*$ with $\varphi_j(w_j) = 1$. Identifying V_j and W_j with $k \otimes \cdots \otimes k \otimes V_j \otimes \cdots \otimes k$ and $k \otimes \cdots \otimes k \otimes W_j \otimes \cdots \otimes k$ respectively, we see that if

$$w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n = (\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n)(\vec{v}),$$

then

$$w_i = \alpha_i((\varphi_1\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{i-1}\alpha_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes \varphi_{i+1}\alpha_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n\alpha_n)(\vec{v})),$$

as required.

(3) With the notation above, suppose that the V_i and W_i are equipped with a basis, and that α_i sends $V_{i,\geq 0}$ to $W_{i,\geq 0}$. We shall repeatedly use the fact that $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n$ sends $(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)_{\geq 0}$ to $(W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_n)_{\geq 0}$.

By composing α with a projection $\mathbb{Q}^I \to \mathbb{Q}^{I'}$ corresponding to a finite subset $I' \subset I$, one sees that it is enough to consider the case when I is finite, which we now assume. Choose $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}^I \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that φ takes $\mathbb{Q}^I_{\geq 0}$ to $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $\varphi(\alpha(v)) = 1$. For example, we may choose $i \in I$ such that the co-ordinate of $\alpha(v)$ at i is non-zero, and take φ to be a positive scalar multiple of the projection onto the factor of $\mathbb{Q}^I$ corresponding to i. Then the composite

$$(\mathbb{Q}^m)^{\otimes n} \overset{1\otimes\alpha^{\otimes(n-1)}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Q}^m \otimes (\mathbb{Q}^I)^{\otimes(n-1)} \overset{1\otimes\varphi^{\otimes(n-1)}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Q}^m$$

takes $(\mathbb{Q}^m)^{\otimes n}_{\geq 0}$ to $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\geq 0}$. Hence

$$v = (1 \otimes \varphi\alpha \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi\alpha)(v^{\otimes n})$$

is in $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\geq 0}$.

Then the assumption that $v^{\otimes n} \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^{\otimes n}$ easily implies that $v \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ (e.g. by explicitly examining the entries of $v^{\otimes n}$ in the standard basis), as required. □

In order to apply this result, we will make use of the following lemma. The refinement (allowing the determinant of τ to run over tame characters) will be used in Section 5.

Lemma 4.5.2. *Let p be a prime, let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with residue field k, and let $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Then the system of equations*

$$e(R^{\square,0,\tau}_{\bar{r}}/\pi) = \sum_\sigma n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}),$$

(where $n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)$ is the multiplicity of σ as a Jordan–Hölder factor of $L_{0,\tau}/\pi$) in the unknowns $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ has at most one solution. Indeed, the linear map which sends $(\mu_\sigma)_\sigma$ to $(\sum_\sigma n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma)_{0,\tau}$ is injective. In fact, this is true even if we restrict to the set of types τ for which $\det\tau$ *is tame.*

Proof. If L is a topological field, and G is a topological group, let $R_L(G)$ denote the Grothendieck group of continuous L-representations of G. Reduction mod p and semisimplification yields a homomorphism $\pi : R_E(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)) \to R_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$. Since by definition this homomorphism takes $\sigma(\tau) = W_0 \otimes \sigma(\tau)$ to $\sum n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)\sigma$, it is enough to check that the $\pi(\sigma(\tau))$ span $R_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$. The surjection $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ gives an injection $R_E(\mathrm{GL}_2(k)) \hookrightarrow R_E(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K))$, and by Theorem 33 of Chapter 16 of [Ser77], the homomorphism $R_E(\mathrm{GL}_2(k)) \to R_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$ is surjective; so π is certainly surjective.

We recall the explicit classification of irreducible E-representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, cf. Section 1 of [Dia07]. There are the one-dimensional representations $\chi \circ \det$, the twists St_χ of the Steinberg representation, the principal series representations $I(\chi_1,\chi_2)$, and the cuspidal representations $\Theta(\xi)$. By the explicit construction in Henniart's appendix to [BM02], all but the representations St_χ occur as a $\sigma(\tau)$ for some tame type τ (the principal series representations occur for tamely ramified types of niveau one, and the cuspidal types for tamely ramified types of niveau two), so in order to complete the proof, it is enough to check that the $\pi(\mathrm{St}_\chi)$ are in the span of the $\pi(\sigma(\tau))$, where τ runs over the representations with tame determinant.

To see this, note that the reduction mod p of St_χ is just the irreducible representation $\overline{\chi}\circ\det\otimes F_{p-1,\dots,p-1}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$. Let $\psi:\mathcal{O}_K^\times\to E^\times$ be a ramified character with trivial reduction, let $\tilde\omega$ denote the Teichmüller character composed with reduction mod p, and consider the element

$$\sigma_\chi := \sigma(\chi\psi\oplus\chi\psi^{-1}) - \sigma(\chi\psi\tilde\omega\oplus\chi\psi^{-1}\tilde\omega^{-1}) + \sigma(\chi\tilde\omega\oplus\chi\tilde\omega^{-1}) - \sigma(\chi\oplus\chi)$$

of $R_E(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K))$. By Proposition 4.2 of [BD12], $\pi(\sigma_\chi)=\overline{\chi}\circ\det\otimes F_{p-1,\dots,p-1}$, as required. $\square$

Remark 4.5.3. In fact, if there is a solution to the system of equations in Lemma 4.5.2, then it is determined by the equations corresponding to the types τ with determinant $\widetilde{\overline{\varepsilon}\det\bar r}$. To see this, note that by Lemma 4.5.2, we certainly need only consider the equations with tame determinant. Now, if $\det\tau$ does not lift $\overline{\varepsilon}\det\bar r$, then certainly $R_{\bar r}^{\square,0,\tau}=0$. It is also easy to check that $n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)=0$ unless the central character of σ is $\mathrm{Art}_K^{-1}\circ\det\overline{\tau}$, so that if we set $\mu_\sigma(\bar r)=0$ if the central character of σ is not equal to $\mathrm{Art}_K^{-1}\circ(\overline{\varepsilon}\det\bar r)$, then all the equations for types τ with $\det\tau\neq\widetilde{\overline{\varepsilon}\det\bar r}$ will automatically be satisfied. Furthermore, none of the equations with $\det\tau=\widetilde{\overline{\varepsilon}\det\bar r}$ involve any of these values of $\mu_\sigma(\bar r)$, so since the equations have a unique solution by Lemma 4.5.2, it follows that we must have $\mu_\sigma(\bar r)=0$ if the central character of σ is not equal to $\mathrm{Art}_K^{-1}\circ(\overline{\varepsilon}\det\bar r)$, and that the remaining values of $\mu_\sigma(\bar r)$ are determined by the τ with $\det\tau=\widetilde{\overline{\varepsilon}\det\bar r}$.

We may now combine Corollary 4.4.3 with Lemmas 4.5.1 and 4.5.2 and Corollary A.1.5 to prove our main local result for potentially diagonalizable representations.

Theorem 4.5.4. *Let $p>2$ be prime, let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with residue field k, and let $\bar r:G_K\to\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation. Then there are uniquely determined non-negative integers $\mu_\sigma(\bar r)$, σ running over the irreducible $\mathbb{F}$-representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, with the following property: for any pair (λ,τ) with the property that every potentially crystalline lift of $\bar r$ of Hodge type λ and Galois type τ is potentially diagonalizable,*

$$e(R_{\bar r}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}/\pi)=\sum_\sigma n_{\lambda,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma(\bar r),$$

where $n_{\lambda,\tau}(\sigma)$ is the multiplicity of σ as a Jordan–Hölder factor of $L_{\lambda,\tau}/\pi$.

Proof. By Corollary A.1.5 and Corollary 4.4.3, we see that all of the equivalent conditions of Lemma 4.3.8 hold in a case where each $F_{\tilde v}\cong K$ and each $\bar r|_{G_{F_{\tilde v}}}$ is an unramified twist of a representation isomorphic to our local $\bar r$. In particular, condition (4) of Lemma 4.3.8 holds. In this case, by Lemma 2.1.2 we see that

$$e(R_\infty/\pi R_\infty)=\prod_{v|p}e(R_{\bar r}^{\square,\lambda_v,\tau_v}/\pi)=\sum_{\{\sigma_v\}_{v|p}}\Big(\prod_{v|p}n_{\lambda_v,\tau_v}(\sigma_v)\Big)\mu'_a(\bar r),$$

where in the final expression the sum runs over tuples $\{\sigma_v\}_{v|p}$ of equivalence classes of Serre weights, and $F_a \cong \otimes_{v|p}\sigma_v$.

Choose an ordering of the set of equivalence classes of (local) Serre weights, and denote its cardinality by m. Let I be the set of pairs (λ, τ) in the statement of the theorem. We now apply Lemma 4.5.1 to the map $\alpha : \mathbb{Q}^m \to \mathbb{Q}^I$ which sends $(\mu_\sigma)_\sigma$ to $(\sum_\sigma n_{\lambda,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma)_{\lambda,\tau}$. Note that all the pairs $(0, \tau)$are in I by Lemma 4.4.1, so that α is an injective map by Lemma 4.5.2, and induces a map $\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}^I_{\geq 0}$ since the $n_{\lambda,\tau}(\sigma)$ are non-negative integers.

Let $w = (e(R^{\square,\lambda,\tau}_{\bar{r}}/\pi))_{\lambda,\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}^I_{\geq 0}$, and take n to be the number of primes of F^+ lying over p. By what we saw above, there exists $v_n \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^{\otimes n}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\alpha^{\otimes n}(v_n) = w^{\otimes n}$. Hence $w = \alpha(v)$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ by Lemma 4.5.1(2). As $\alpha^{\otimes n}$ is injective by Lemma 4.5.1(1), we must have $v_n = v^{\otimes n}$, so that $v \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$ by Lemma 4.5.1(3). Defining the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ by $v = (\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}))_\sigma$, the theorem follows. ☐

Corollary 4.5.5. *(Theorem A) Let $p > 2$ be prime, let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with residue field k, and let $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation. Then there are uniquely determined non-negative integers $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ such that for all inertial types τ, we have*

$$e(R^{\square,0,\tau}_{\bar{r}}/\pi) = \sum_\sigma n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}),$$

where $n_{0,\tau}(\sigma)$ is the multiplicity of σ as a Jordan–Hölder factor of $L_{0,\tau}/\pi$. Furthermore, the $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r})$ enjoy the following properties.

1. *If $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$, then $\bar{r}$ has a crystalline lift of Hodge type σ in the sense of Definition 2.1.7.*
2. *If σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.10, then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$.*
3. *If $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is either unramified or totally ramified, then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ if and only if σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$.*
4. *If $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified and σ is regular in the sense of Definition 2.1.8, then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) = e(R^{\square,\sigma}_{\bar{r}}/\pi)$. If furthermore σ is Fontaine–Laffaille regular in the sense of Definition 2.1.8, then $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) = 1$ if σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$, and is 0 otherwise.*

Proof. The initial part is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5.4 and Lemma 4.4.1. The numbered properties also follow easily from the results above, as we now show. Recall that in the proof of Theorem 4.5.4, we worked with a global representation $\bar{r}$ with the property that each $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}, v|p$, is an unramified twist of our local $\bar{r}$. We will continue to use this global representation $\bar{r}$. Let σ be an equivalence class of local Serre weights, and let a be a global Serre weight such that $F_a \cong \sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma$. By the proof of Theorem 4.5.4, we see that $\mu'_a(\bar{r}) = \mu_\sigma(\bar{r})^n$, where there are n places of F^+ lying over p. In particular, we have $\mu'_a(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$.

(1) This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.3.9.

(2) By Lemma 4.3.9, we see that $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ if and only if (the global representation) $\bar{r}$ is modular of weight $\sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma$. The main result of [BLGG11b] shows that whenever σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$, then $\bar{r}$ is modular of weight $\sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma$, as required.

(3) By Lemma 4.3.9, we see that $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) \neq 0$ if and only if (the global representation) $\bar{r}$ is modular of weight $\sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma$. By the main results of [GLS12b] and [GLS12a], this holds if and only if σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$.

(4) That $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) = e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\sigma}/\pi)$ whenever σ is regular is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5.4 and Lemma 4.4.2(2). By Fontaine–Laffaille theory (or as a special case of the main result of [GLS12a]), $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\sigma} \neq 0$ if and only if σ is a predicted Serre weight for $\bar{r}$, so to complete the proof it is enough to show that if σ is Fontaine–Laffaille regular and $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\sigma} \neq 0$, then $e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\sigma}/\pi) = 1$. By Lemma 2.4.1 of [CHT08] (see also Definition 2.2.6 of *op. cit.*) $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\sigma}$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$, so the result follows. ☐

In the next section, it will be helpful to have the following definition.

Definition 4.5.6. Let $W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r})$ be the set of Serre weights σ for which $\mu_\sigma(\bar{r}) > 0$.

Recall from Section 2 that sets of Serre weights $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$ and $W^{\mathrm{cris}}(\bar{r})$ are defined in [BLGG11b], and that $W^{\mathrm{cris}}(\bar{r})$ is simply the set of Serre weights σ for which $\bar{r}$ has a crystalline lift of Hodge type σ. (Recall that, as remarked in 2.1.6 this condition depends only on the equivalence class of σ, but that in the ramified case this notion depends on the choice of particular embeddings $K \hookrightarrow E$; the following corollary is true for any such choice.)

Corollary 4.5.7. *We have* $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r}) \subset W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r}) \subset W^{\mathrm{cris}}(\bar{r})$. *If* $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ *is unramified or totally ramified, then* $W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r}) = W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$.

Proof. The inclusion $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r}) \subset W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r})$ is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.5.5(2), and the inclusion $W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r}) \subset W^{\mathrm{cris}}(\bar{r})$ is immediate from Corollary 4.5.5(1). By Corollary 4.5.5(3) we have $W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\bar{r}) = W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$ if $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is either unramified or totally ramified, as required. ☐

5. The Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis Conjecture

5.1. We now apply the machinery developed above to the weight part of Serre's conjecture for inner forms of GL_2 (as opposed to the outer forms treated in [BLGG11b], [GLS12b], [GLS12a]).

5.2. **Types.** We briefly recall some results from Henniart's appendix to [BM02] which will be useful to us in the sequel. Let $l \neq p$ be prime, and let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_l$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_L$ and residue field k_L. Let $\tau : I_L \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be an inertial type. Then Henniart defines an irreducible finite-dimensional representation $\sigma(\tau)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)$ with the following property.

- If π is an infinite dimensional smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)}(\sigma(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{rec}_p(\pi \otimes |\cdot|^{-1/2})|_{I_L} \cong \tau$, in which case $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)}(\sigma(\tau), \pi)$ is one-dimensional.

(Note that in contrast to the case $l = p$ covered in Theorem 2.1.3, we make no prescription on the monodromy. The only difference between the two definitions is for scalar inertial types, where we replace a twist of the trivial representation with a twist of the small Steinberg representation.)

For later use, we need to understand the basic properties of the reductions modulo p of the $\sigma(\tau)$. We would like to thank Guy Henniart for his assistance with the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2.1. *Let* $\overline{\pi}$ *be an admissible smooth irreducible* $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$*-representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$*. Then there is some* τ *and some Jordan–Hölder factor* $\overline{\sigma}$ *of the reduction mod* p *of* $\sigma(\tau)$ *such that* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)}(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\pi}) \neq 0$*.*

Proof. By Corollaire 13 of [Vig89b], $\overline{\pi}$ may be lifted to an admissible smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$. Setting $\tau = \mathrm{rec}_p(\pi \otimes |\cdot|^{-1/2})|_{I_L}$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)}(\sigma(\tau),\pi) \neq 0$, and the result follows. □

5.2.2. We will also need the analogue of these results for (nonsplit) quaternion algebras. Let D be the quaternion algebra with centre L, and let π be a smooth irreducible (so finite-dimensional) $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation of $D^\times$. Let $\mathcal{O}_D$ be the maximal order in D, so that $L^\times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ has index two in $D^\times$. Thus $\pi|_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$ is either irreducible or a sum of two irreducible representations which are conjugate under a uniformiser in $D^\times$, and we easily see that if π' is another smooth irreducible representation of $D^\times$, then π and π' differ by an unramified twist if and only if $\pi|_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} \cong \pi'|_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$.

Let τ be as above, and assume that τ is either irreducible or scalar. Then there is an irreducible smooth representation π_τ of $D^\times$ such that $\mathrm{rec}_p(\mathrm{JL}(\pi_\tau)\otimes|\cdot|^{-1/2})|_{I_L} \cong \tau$, where JL denotes the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. Define $\sigma_D(\tau)$ to be an irreducible constituent of $\pi_\tau|_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$; then by the above discussion, we have the following property.

- If π is a smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation of $D^\times$ then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(\sigma_D(\tau),\pi)$ is non-zero if and only if $\mathrm{rec}_p(\mathrm{JL}(\pi) \otimes |\cdot|^{-1/2})|_{I_L} \cong \tau$, in which case $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(\sigma_D(\tau),\pi)$ is one-dimensional.

We also have the following analogue of Lemma 5.2.1.

Lemma 5.2.3. *Let* $\overline{\pi}$ *be an admissible smooth irreducible* $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$*-representation of* $D^\times$*. Then there is some* τ *and some Jordan–Hölder factor* $\overline{\sigma}$ *of the reduction mod* p *of* $\sigma_D(\tau)$ *such that* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\pi}) \neq 0$*.*

Proof. By Théorème 4 of [Vig89a], $\overline{\pi}$ may be lifted to an admissible smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-representation π of $D^\times$, and the result follows as in the proof of Lemma 5.2.1. □

5.3. **Deformation rings.**

We now carry out our global patching argument. Since the arguments are by now rather standard, and in any case extremely similar to those of Section 4, we will sketch the construction, giving the necessary definitions and explaining the differences from the arguments of Section 4. For a detailed treatment of the Taylor–Wiles–Kisin method for Shimura curves, the reader could consult [BD12].

For technical reasons, we will fix the determinants of all the deformations we will consider, and we now introduce some notation to allow this. We will also need to consider p-adic representations of the absolute Galois groups of l-adic fields. Accordingly, let $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}$, let l be a prime (possibly equal to p), let $K/\mathbb{Q}_l$ be a finite extension, and let $\bar{r} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation. We will always assume that E is sufficiently large that all representations under consideration are defined over E. Fix a finite-order character $\psi : G_K \to E^\times$ such that $\det \bar{r} = \overline{\varepsilon}^{-1}\overline{\psi}$. Let $\tau : I_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ be an inertial type such that $\det \tau = \psi|_{I_K}$. Recall that we have the universal $\mathcal{O}$-lifting ring $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square}$ of $\bar{r}$, and let $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\psi}$ denote the universal $\mathcal{O}$-lifting ring for liftings of determinant ψ.

Suppose firstly that $l = p$. Let $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau,\psi}$ be the unique quotient of $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$ with the property that the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-points of $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau,\psi}$ are precisely the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-points of $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}$ whose associated Galois representations have determinant ψ.

Remark 5.3.1. By Lemma 4.3.1 of [EG11] we have an isomorphism

$$R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau} \cong R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau,\psi}[[X]],$$

so that in particular we have $e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau,\psi}/\pi) = e(R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\lambda,\tau}/\pi)$.

Suppose now that $l \neq p$. Then by Theorem 2.1.6 of [Gee11a], there is a unique (possibly zero) p-torsion free reduced quotient $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square,\tau,\psi}$ of $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square}$ whose $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-points are precisely those points of $R_{\bar{r}}^{\square}$ which correspond to liftings of $\bar{r}$ which have determinant ψ and Galois type τ, in the sense that the restriction to I_K of the corresponding Weil–Deligne representations are isomorphic to τ.

We begin with a generalisation of Corollary 3.1.7 of [Gee11a] (see also Théorème 3.2.2 of [BD12]). The following result produces modular liftings of mod p Galois representations with prescribed local properties, and will be used in place of Corollary 4.4.3 in this setting. The proof is very similar to that of Corollary 4.4.3. We firstly define some notation.

Let F be a totally real field, and let $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation. We will say that $\overline{\rho}$ is *modular* if it is isomorphic to the reduction mod p of the Galois representation associated to a Hilbert modular eigenform of parallel weight two. Fix a finite order character $\psi : G_F \to E^\times$ with the property that $\det \overline{\rho} = \overline{\psi}\overline{\varepsilon}^{-1}$. Let S be a finite set of finite places of F, including all places dividing p and all places at which $\overline{\rho}$ or ψ is ramified. For each place $v \in S$, fix an inertial type τ_v of I_{F_v} such that $\det \tau_v = \psi|_{G_{F_v}}$. For each place $v|p$, let R_v be a quotient of $R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,0,\tau_v,\psi|_{G_{F_v}}}$ corresponding to a choice of an irreducible component of $R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,0,\tau_v,\psi|_{G_{F_v}}}[1/p]$, and for each place $v \in S$ with $v \nmid p$, let R_v be a quotient of $R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,\tau_v,\psi|_{G_{F_v}}}$ corresponding to a choice of an irreducible component of $R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,\tau_v,\psi|_{G_{F_v}}}[1/p]$.

Lemma 5.3.2. *Let $p > 2$ be prime, let F be a totally real field, and let $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation. Assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is modular, that $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is absolutely irreducible, and if $p = 5$, assume further that the projective image of $\overline{\rho}$ is not isomorphic to either $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. Let the R_v be as above.*

Then there is a continuous lift $\rho : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ of $\overline{\rho}$ with the properties that:

- $\det \rho = \psi\varepsilon^{-1}$.
- *ρ is unramified outside of S.*
- *For each place $v \in S$, $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ is a point of $R_v[1/p]$.*
- *ρ is modular.*

Proof. This is essentially an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.1.7 of [Gee11a], given the main result of [BLGG12b]. Indeed, in the case that for all places $v|p$ the component R_v corresponds to non-ordinary representations, the lemma is a special case of Corollary 3.1.7 of [Gee11a], and the only thing to be checked in general is that the hypothesis (ord) of Proposition 3.1.5 of [Gee11a] is satisfied. This hypothesis relates to the existence of ordinary lifts of $\overline{\rho}$, and a general result on the existence of such lifts is proved in [BLGG12b].

In fact, examining the proof of Proposition 3.1.5 of [Gee11a], we see that it is enough to check that there is some finite solvable extension L/F of totally real fields with the property that L is linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_p)$ over F, and $\overline{\rho}|_{G_L}$ has a modular lift which is potentially Barsotti–Tate and ordinary at all places $v|p$. In order to see that such an L exists, simply choose a solvable totally real extension L/F such that L is linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_p)$ over F and $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{L_w}}$ is reducible for each place $w|p$ of L. The existence of the required lift of $\overline{\rho}|_{G_L}$ is then immediate from the main result of [BLGG12b]. $\square$

5.4. **Quaternion algebras.** For the rest of the paper, we let F be a totally real field and $p > 2$ be prime, and we let D be a quaternion algebra with centre F. We assume that either D is ramified at all infinite places (the definite case) or that D is split at precisely one infinite place (the indefinite case), and we let Σ denote the set of finite places of F at which D is ramified. We assume that Σ does not contain any places dividing p. We fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_D$ of D, and an isomorphism $(\mathcal{O}_D)_v \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ at each finite place $v \notin \Sigma$. For each finite place v of F we let $\pi_v \in F_v$ denote a uniformiser.

5.4.1. Let $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation, and assume that

- $\overline{\rho}$ is modular,
- $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is absolutely irreducible, and
- if $p = 5$, the projective image of $\overline{\rho}$ is not isomorphic to either $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$.

Let S be a finite set of places of F containing Σ and all the places dividing p. For each place $v \in S$, fix an inertial type $\tau_v : I_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, and fix an extension of τ_v to G_{F_v}. We will assume that the τ_v (and their extensions to G_{F_v}) have been chosen such that $\det\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}} = \overline{\varepsilon}\det\overline{\tau}_v$, and such that if $v \in \Sigma$, then τ_v is either irreducible or scalar. Fix a finite order character $\psi : G_F \to E^\times$ with the properties that

- for each place $v \in S$, $\psi|_{G_{F_v}} = \det\tau_v$, and
- $\overline{\psi}\overline{\varepsilon} = \det\overline{\rho}$.

(To see that such a character exists, we may argue as follows. By Lemma 4.1.1 of [CHT08], we may choose a finite order character $\chi : G_F \to E^\times$ such that $\chi|_{G_{F_v}} = \det\tau_v$ for each $v \in S$. Then we may take $\psi = \chi(\widetilde{\overline{\chi}^{-1}\overline{\varepsilon}^{-1}}\det\overline{\rho})$, where the tilde denotes the Teichmüller lift.)

We now enlarge S if necessary to ensure that it contains all the places of F at which $\overline{\rho}$ or ψ are ramified, and for each place v that we have added we choose an inertial type $\tau_v : I_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$. For each $v \in S$, $v \nmid p$ we define $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$ as follows: $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}} = R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$ unless $v \in \Sigma$ and τ_v is scalar, in which case $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$ is the quotient of $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$ corresponding to the components of $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}[1/p]$ whose $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-points are not all potentially unramified. In the case that τ_v is scalar and $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}} \neq 0$, then this ring either has one component consisting of potentially unramified representations (in which case $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}} = 0$), or it has two components, one of which corresponds to potentially unipotent (but not potentially unramified) representations. In this case, $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$ corresponds to this second component. The case where there are two components only occurs if $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is a twist of an extension of the trivial character by the mod p cyclotomic character. (See Theorems 4.1.3 and 4.1.5 of [Pil08].) Note that at this stage we could have $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}} = 0$.

By Lemma 4.11 of [DDT97] we can and do choose a finite place $v_1 \notin S$ of F such that ρ is unramified at v_1, $\mathbf{N}v_1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and the ratio of the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_{v_1})$ is not equal to $(\mathbf{N}v_1)^{\pm 1}$. In particular, we have $H^0(G_{F_{v_1}}, \mathrm{ad}\,\overline{\rho}(1)) = (0)$, and it follows that any lifting of $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_{v_1}}}$ is necessarily unramified. Furthermore, we can and do assume that the residue characteristic of v_1 is sufficiently large that for any non-trivial root of unity ζ in a quadratic extension of F, v_1 does not divide $\zeta + \zeta^{-1} - 2$.

We set $R^{\psi}_S = \widehat{\otimes}_{v\in S,\mathcal{O}} R^{\square,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$, $R^{\tau,\psi}_p = \widehat{\otimes}_{v|p,\mathcal{O}} R^{\square,0,\tau_v,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$, $R^{\tau,\psi}_{S\backslash p} = \widehat{\otimes}_{v\nmid p, v\in S,\mathcal{O}} R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$ and $R^{\tau,\psi}_S = R^{\tau,\psi}_p \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} R^{\tau,\psi}_{S\backslash p}$.

5.5. **Modular forms.**

5.5.1. We now define the spaces of modular forms with which we will work, following section 3 of [BD12], to which we refer for a more detailed treatment of the facts that we need, and for further references to the literature.

Fix from now on the compact open subgroup $U = \prod_v U_v \subset (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times$ where $U_v = (\mathcal{O}_D)^\times_v$ for $v \neq v_1$, and U_{v_1} is the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v_1})$ consisting of elements which are upper triangular unipotent modulo v_1. By our assumptions on v_1, this implies that U is sufficiently small, in the sense that condition (2.1.2) of [Kis09a] holds.

For each place $v \in S$, we have an inertial type τ_v, and thus a finite-dimensional irreducible E-representation $\sigma(\tau_v)$ of $(\mathcal{O}_D)^\times_v$. (When $v|p$ the representation $\sigma(\tau_v)$ is defined by Theorem 2.1.3, and when $v \nmid p$ it is defined in Section 5.2, where it was denoted $\sigma_{D_v}(\tau_v)$ in the case that D_v is ramified. The difference between the two cases $v|p$ and $v \nmid p$ is that when $v \nmid p$ we allow representations with non-zero monodromy.) Fix an $(\mathcal{O}_D)^\times_v$-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice L_{τ_v} in $\sigma(\tau_v)$, and write $L_{\tau^p} = \otimes_{v\in S, v\nmid p,\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau_v}$, $L_{\tau_p} = \otimes_{v|p,\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau_v}$.

5.5.2. Let θ denote a finite $\mathcal{O}$-module with a continuous action of $\prod_{v|p} U_v$, with the property that the action of $\prod_{v|p}(U_v \cap \mathcal{O}^\times_{F_v})$ on θ is given by $\mathrm{Art}_F \circ \psi$. Then $\theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_\tau$ has an action of U via the projection onto $\prod_{v\in S} U_v$. We extend this action to an action of $U(\mathbb{A}^\infty_F)^\times$ by letting $(\mathbb{A}^\infty_F)^\times$ act via the composition of the projection $(\mathbb{A}^\infty_F)^\times \to (\mathbb{A}^\infty_F)^\times / F^\times$ and $\mathrm{Art}_F \circ \psi$. (This action is well-defined by our assumptions on ψ and θ.)

Suppose firstly that we are in the indefinite case. Then there is a smooth projective algebraic curve X_U over F associated to U, and a local system $\mathcal{F}_{\theta\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau^p}}$ on X_U corresponding to $\theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau^p}$, and we set

$$S_{\tau^p}(U, \theta) := H^1(X_{U,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{F}_{\theta\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau^p}}).$$

If we are in the definite case, then we let $S_{\tau^p}(U, \theta)$ be the set of continuous functions

$$f : D^\times \backslash (D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}^\infty_F)^\times \to \theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau^p}$$

such that we have $f(gu) = u^{-1} f(g)$ for all $g \in (D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F)^\times$, $u \in U(\mathbb{A}^\infty_F)^\times$.

In the special case that $\theta = L_{\tau_p}$, we write $S_\tau(U, \mathcal{O})$ for $S_{\tau^p}(U, L_{\tau_p})$.

5.5.3. We now define the Hecke algebras that we will use. Let T be a finite set of finite places of F containing S, and let $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ be the commutative $\mathcal{O}$-polynomial algebra generated by formal variables T_v, S_v for each finite place $v \notin T \cup \{v_1\}$ of F. Then $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ acts on $S_{\tau^p}(U,\theta)$ via the Hecke operators

$$T_v = \left[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \right],$$

$$S_v = \left[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_v & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_v \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \right].$$

We denote the image of $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O}))$ by $\mathbb{T}^T_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{S,\mathrm{univ}}$ with residue field $\mathbb{F}$ with the property that for each finite place $v \notin S \cup \{v_1\}$ of F, the characteristic polynomial of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ is equal to the image of $X^2 - T_v X + (\mathbf{N}v)S_v$ in $\mathbb{F}[X]$. Note that if $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$, then $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is locally free of rank 2^m over $\mathbb{T}^S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m}[1/p]$, where $m = 1$ in the definite case and 2 in the indefinite case. (This follows from our assumptions on v_1 and U, and the multiplicity one property of the $\sigma(\tau_v)$ explained in Theorem 2.1.3 and Section 5.2.)

5.6. **Patching.**

5.6.1. Let $R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S}$ denote the complete local $\mathcal{O}$-algebra which pro-represents the functor which assigns to a local Artinian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra A the set of equivalence classes of tuples $(\rho, \{\alpha_v\}_{v\in S})$ where ρ is a lifting of $\overline{\rho}$ as a $G_{F,S}$-representation with determinant $\psi\varepsilon^{-1}$, $\alpha_v \in \ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$, and two such tuples $(\rho, \{\alpha_v\}_{v\in S})$ and $(\rho', \{\alpha'_v\}_{v\in S})$ are equivalent if there is an element $\beta \in \ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$ with $\rho' = \beta\rho\beta^{-1}$ and $\alpha'_v = \beta\alpha_v$ for all $v \in S$, so that $R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S\cup Q}$ is naturally an R^ψ_S-algebra. We define $R^{\square,\tau,\psi}_{F,S} = R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} \otimes_{R^\psi_S} R^{\tau,\psi}_S$. We also have the corresponding universal deformation ring $R^{\tau,\psi}_{F,S}$.

Choose a lift $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}}_S : G_{F,S} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R^{\tau,\psi}_{F,S})$ representing the universal deformation. Fix a place $w \in S$, and let

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}[[X_{v,i,j} : v \in S, i,j = 1,2]]/(X_{w,1,1}).$$

The tuple $(\rho^{\mathrm{univ}}_S, (1_2 + X_{v,i,j})_{v\in S})$ induces an isomorphism $R^{\square,\tau,\psi}_{F,S} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\tau,\psi}_{F,S} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}$. (Note that the fact that $X_{w,1,1} = 0$ in $\mathcal{T}$ implies that this tuple has no non-trivial scalar endomorphisms.)

We let $M = S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \otimes_{R^{\tau,\psi}_{F,S}} R^{\square,\tau,\psi}_{F,S}$. Fix a filtration by $\mathbb{F}$-subspaces

$$0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_s = (\otimes_{v|p,\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau_v}) \otimes \mathbb{F}$$

such that each L_i is $\prod_{v|p} U_v$-stable, and for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1$, $\sigma_i := L_{i+1}/L_i$ is absolutely irreducible. This in turn induces a filtration on $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$ whose graded pieces are the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-vector spaces $S_{\tau^p}(U,\sigma_i)_\mathfrak{m}$. By extension of scalars we obtain a filtrations on $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$ which we denote by

$$0 = M^0 \subset M^1 \subset \cdots \subset M^s = M \otimes \mathbb{F}.$$

Let $g = q - [F:\mathbb{Q}] + \#S - 1$ and let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_\infty & = & \mathbb{Z}_p^q, \\ R_\infty & = & R_S^{\tau,\psi}[[x_1,\dots,x_g]], \\ R'_\infty & = & R_S^{\psi}[[x_1,\dots,x_g]], \\ S_\infty & = & \mathcal{T}[[\Delta_\infty]], \end{array}$$

and let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the kernel of the $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism $S_\infty \to \mathcal{O}$ which sends each $X_{v,i,j}$ to 0 and each element of Δ_∞ to 1. Note that S_∞ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ of relative dimension $q + 4\#S - 1$, and R_∞ also has relative dimension $q + 4\#S - 1$ over $\mathcal{O}$.

Now a patching argument as in Section 4.3 shows that there exists

- an S_∞-module M_∞ which is simultaneously an R_∞-module such that the image of R_∞ in M_∞ is an S_∞-algebra,
- a filtration by R_∞-modules
$$0 = M_\infty^0 \subset M_\infty^1 \subset \cdots \subset M_\infty^s = M_\infty \otimes \mathbb{F}$$
whose graded pieces are finite free S_∞-modules,
- a surjection of $R_S^{\tau,\psi}$-algebras $R_\infty/\mathfrak{a}R_\infty \to R_{F,S}^{\square,\tau,\psi}$, and
- an isomorphism of R_∞-modules $M_\infty/\mathfrak{a}M_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ which identifies M^i with $M_\infty^i/\mathfrak{a}M_\infty^i$.

As in Section 4.3, we may and do assume that for $i = 1, 2, \dots s$, the (R'_∞, S_∞)-bimodule $M_\infty^i/M_\infty^{i-1}$ and the isomorphism $M_\infty^i/(\mathfrak{a}M_\infty^i, M_\infty^{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^i/M^{i-1}$ depends only on ($U, \mathfrak{m}$, the types τ_v for $v \nmid p$ and) the isomorphism class of L_i/L_{i-1} as a $\prod_{v|p} U_v$-representation, but not on the choice of types τ_v for $v|p$, provided that the determinants of the τ_v do not vary. (Note that the choice of the character ψ depended on the determinants of the τ_v.)

Assume that for $v \in S$, $v \nmid p$ the τ_v have been chosen such that $R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*} \neq 0$, and that for $v|p$ we have $\det \tau_v = \overline{\epsilon}\,\widetilde{\det \overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$. For a a global Serre weight, we denote by M_∞^a the $R_\infty/\pi R_\infty$-module constructed above when $L_i/L_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_a$, and we set

$$\mu'_a(\overline{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2^m \prod_{v\in S, v\nmid p} e(R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}/\pi)} e_{R_\infty/\pi}(M_\infty^a).$$

Proposition 5.6.2. *For each a, $\mu'_a(\overline{\rho})$ is a non-negative integer, and in fact we have $\mu'_a(\overline{\rho}) = \prod_{v|p} \mu_{\sigma_v}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$, where $F_a \cong \otimes_{v|p}\sigma_v$, and the $\mu_{\sigma_v}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ are as in Section 4.5.*

Proof. Firstly, we claim that we have

$$e(R_\infty/\pi R_\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2^m} e_{R_\infty/\pi}(M_\infty^{a_i})$$

where a_i is a global Serre weight with $L_i/L_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{a_i}$. This follows exactly as in Corollary 4.4.3, replacing the appeal to Theorem A.4.1 of [BLGG11b] with one to Lemma 5.3.2. Since

$$e(R_\infty/\pi R_\infty) = e(R_S^{\tau,\psi}/\pi) = \prod_{v|p} e(R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,0,\tau_v,\psi}/\pi) \prod_{v\in S, v\nmid p} e(R_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}/\pi),$$

this yields

$$\prod_{v|p} e(R^{\square,0,\tau_v,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}/\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mu'_{a_i}(\overline{\rho}),$$

which by Remark 5.3.1 is equivalent to

$$\prod_{v|p} e(R^{\square,0,\tau_v}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}/\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mu'_{a_i}(\overline{\rho}).$$

Since the a_i are precisely the Jordan–Hölder factors of $(\otimes_{v|p,\mathcal{O}} L_{\tau_v}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$, we see that this is equivalent to

$$\prod_{v|p} e(R^{\square,0,\tau_v}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}/\pi) = \sum_{\{\sigma_v\}_{v|p}} (\prod_{v|p} n_{0,\tau_v}(\sigma_v))\mu'_a(\overline{\rho}),$$

where the sum runs over tuples $\{\sigma_v\}_{v|p}$ of equivalence classes of Serre weights, and $F_a \cong \otimes_{v|p}\sigma_v$. By the above construction, these equations hold for all choices of types τ_v with $\det\tau_v = \overline{\epsilon}\,\widetilde{\det\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}$.

In fact, we claim that these equations hold for all choices of types τ_v with tame determinant. In order to see this, suppose that for some v, $\det\tau_v$ is tame, but $\det\overline{\tau}_v \neq \overline{\epsilon}\det\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$. Then $R^{\square,0,\tau_v}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}} = 0$, so it suffices to prove that $\mu'_a(\overline{\rho}) = 0$ whenever $n_{0,\tau_v}(\sigma_v) \neq 0$ (as then the equation will collapse to $0 = 0$). Equivalently, we must show that $M^a_\infty = 0$ if $n_{0,\tau_v}(\sigma_v) \neq 0$; but as in Remark 4.5.3, this is an easy consequence of local-global compatibility and the assumption that $\det\overline{\tau}_v \neq \overline{\epsilon}\det\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$, since if $M^a_\infty \neq 0$ then $M^a \neq 0$.

Now, by Lemma 4.5.1(1) and Lemma 4.5.2, we see that the quantities $\mu'_a(\overline{\rho})$ are uniquely determined by these equations as the τ_v run over all types with tame determinant. However, by Corollary 4.5.5 we see that setting $\mu'_a(\overline{\rho}) = \prod_{v|p}\mu_{\sigma_v}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ gives a solution to the equations, so we must have $\mu'_a(\overline{\rho}) = \prod_{v|p}\mu_{\sigma_v}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$, as required. □

The following corollary is the main result we need to apply our techniques to the weight part of Serre's conjecture.

Corollary 5.6.3. *With the above notation and assumptions, let* $\sigma = \otimes_{v|p}\sigma_v$ *be a global Serre weight. Then we have* $S_{\tau^p}(U,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ *if and only if* $\sigma_v \in W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ *for all places* $v|p$*.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.6.2, we see that $\sigma_v \in W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ for all places $v|p$ if and only if $M^a_\infty \neq 0$, where $F_a \cong \sigma$; but $M^a_\infty \neq 0$ if and only if $M^a \neq 0$, and $M^a = S_{\tau^p}(U,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by definition. □

5.7. **Serre weights.**

We are now ready to prove Theorem B. Firstly, we have to make some definitions.

5.7.1. Let D be some quaternion algebra as above, and $V \subset (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times$ a compact open subgroup with the property that we may write $V = (\prod_{v|p}\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}))V^p$ with V^p a compact open subgroup of $(D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})^\times$. Then there is a finite set T of finite places of F, containing all the places at which D or $\overline{\rho}$ is ramified and all the places dividing p, with the property that if $v \notin T$ then we may write $V = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})V^v$ with V^v a compact open subgroup of $(D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty,p,v})^\times$. Let $\sigma = \otimes_{v|p}\sigma_v$, where σ_v is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}$-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k_v)$; then σ is a representation of $\prod_{v|p}\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ and thus of V. We assume that σ is compatible with ψ as above, and extend σ to a representation of $V(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$.

If we are in the indefinite case, then we have a corresponding local system $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ on X_V, and we set

$$S(V,\sigma) := H^1(X_{V,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{F}_\sigma).$$

If we are in the definite case, then we let $S(V,\sigma)$ be the set of continuous functions

$$f : D^\times\backslash(D\otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \to \sigma$$

such that we have $f(gu) = u^{-1}f(g)$ for all $g \in (D\otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F)^\times$, $u \in V(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$.

Then the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ acts on $S(V,\sigma)$ as above, and we let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{T,\mathrm{univ}}$ with residue field $\mathbb{F}$ with the property that for each finite place $v \notin T$ of F, the characteristic polynomial of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ is equal to the ima‘xge of $X^2 - T_vX + (\mathbf{N}v)S_v$ in $\mathbb{F}[X]$.

Definition 5.7.2. We say that $\overline{\rho}$ is modular for D of weight σ if for some V as above we have $S(V,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$.

5.7.3. By Proposition 2.5 of [BDJ10] (the proof of which applies equally well in the definite setting), if $\overline{\rho}$ is modular then there is some D, V, σ for which $S(V,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$. However, it is *not* the case that for all D there are V, σ for which this holds. The obstruction to this being true is at the places in Σ (i.e. the finite places at which D is ramified). If $v \in \Sigma$ and $S(V,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$, then $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ necessarily has a lift of discrete series type; as in the proof of Corollaire 3.2.3 of [BD12], such a lift exists if and only if $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is either irreducible, or is a twist of an extension of the trivial character by the cyclotomic character. We say that $\overline{\rho}$ is *compatible with* D if this condition holds for all places $v \in \Sigma$.

Note that if $\overline{\rho}$ is compatible with D, and the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3.2 hold, then we indeed have $S(V,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ for some V, σ, because we can use Lemma 5.3.2 to produce an automorphic lift of $\overline{\rho}$ which is discrete series at all places $v \in \Sigma$, and then apply the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence (cf. Corollaire 3.2.3 of [BD12]).

Corollary 5.7.4. *(Theorem B) Let $p > 2$ be prime, let F be a totally real field, and let $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Let D be a quaternion algebra as above which is compatible with $\overline{\rho}$. Assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is modular, that $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible, and if $p = 5$, assume further that the projective image of $\overline{\rho}$ is not isomorphic to either $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$.*

For each place $v|p$ of F with residue field k_v, let σ_v be a Serre weight of $GL_2(k_v)$. Then $\overline{\rho}$ is modular for D of weight $\sigma = \otimes_{v|p}\sigma_v$ if and only if $\sigma_v \in W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ for all v.

Proof. Assume firstly that $\sigma_v \in W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ for all $v|p$; by definition, this means that $\mu_{\sigma_v}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}) > 0$ for all $v|p$. We then carry out the constructions above; note that by the above remarks and the assumption that $\overline{\rho}$ is compatible with D, we can choose our types τ_v such that $R^{\square,\tau_v,\psi,*}_{\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}} \neq 0$. Then $S_{\tau^p}(U,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ by Corollary 5.6.3. For each $v \in S$, $v \nmid p$, we can choose a finite index subgroup $V_v \subset U_v$ such that V_v acts trivially on $\overline{\tau}_v$, and we set $V_v = U_v$ for all other places v. Then if we define $V = \prod_v V_v$, we have $S(V,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$, so that $\overline{\rho}$ is modular of weight σ, as required.

Conversely, suppose that for some $v|p$ we have $\sigma_v \notin W^{\mathrm{BT}}(\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that for some D, V, T as above we have $S(V,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$.

Then we may consider the admissible smooth $\prod_{v\in T} D_v^\times$-representation

$$\varinjlim_{U'} S(U',\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

where the limit is over the compact open subgroups $U' \subset U$ of the form $U' = \prod_v U'_v$ with $U'_v = U_v$ for $v \notin T$ and $U'_v = U_v$ for $v|p$. This is nonzero by assumption, so it contains an absolutely irreducible admissible smooth subrepresentation, and we see from Lemmas 5.2.1 and 5.2.3 that there are types τ_v for $v \in T$, $v \nmid p$, with the property that $S_{\tau^p}(U,\sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$. This contradicts Corollary 5.6.3. □

Remark 5.7.5. The above arguments strongly suggest that there should be versions of the Breuil–Mézard conjecture for quaternion algebras, and also for mod l representations of the absolute Galois groups of p-adic fields, with $l \neq p$. The first problem will be considered in forthcoming work of the first author and David Geraghty, while the second problem is being investigated by Jack Shotton for his Imperial PhD thesis .

Appendix A. Realising local representations globally

A.1. In this appendix we realise local representations globally, using the potential automorphy techniques of [BLGGT10] and [Cal12]. We will freely use the notation and terminology of [BLGGT10], in particular the notions of RACSDC, RAESDC and RAECSDC automorphic representations π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and the associated p-adic Galois representations $r_{p,\imath}(\pi)$, which are defined in Section 2.1 of [BLGGT10].

Proposition A.1.1. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension, and let $\bar{r}_K : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Then there is a totally real field L^+ and a continuous irreducible representation $\bar{r} : G_{L^+} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ such that*

- *for each place $v|p$ of L^+, $L^+_v \cong K$ and $\bar{r}|_{L^+_v} \cong \bar{r}_K$,*
- *for each finite place $v \nmid p$ of L^+, $\bar{r}|_{G_{L^+_v}}$ is unramified,*
- *for each place $v|\infty$ of L^+, $\det \bar{r}(c_v) = -1$, where c_v is a complex conjugation at v, and*
- *there is a non-trivial finite extension $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\bar{r}(G_{L^+}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Choose a non-trivial finite extension $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\bar{r}_K(G_K) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. We apply Proposition 3.2 of [Cal12] where, in the notation of that result,

- $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$,
- we let $F = E$ be any totally real field with the property that if $v|p$ is a place of E, then $E_v \cong K$,
- if $v|p$, we let $D_v = \bar{r}_K(G_K)$,
- if $v|\infty$, we let c_v be the nontrivial conjugacy class of order 2 in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

This produces a representation $\bar{r}$ which satisfies all the required properties, except possibly for the requirement that $\bar{r}$ be unramified outside p, which may be arranged by a further (solvable, if one wishes) base change. □

We remark that the reason that we have assumed that $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$ is that we wish to apply Proposition A.2.1 of [BLGG11b] to conclude that $\bar{r}(G_{L^+})$ is adequate. We have the following now-standard potential modularity result.

Theorem A.1.2. *Let L^+ be a totally real field, let M/L^+ be a finite extension, and let $p > 2$ be a prime. Let $\overline{\rho} : G_{L^+} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be an irreducible continuous totally odd representation. Then there exists a finite totally real Galois extension F^+/L^+ such that*

- *the primes of L^+ above p split completely in F^+,*
- *F^+ is linearly disjoint from M over L^+, and*
- *there is a weight 0 RAESDC (regular, algebraic, essentially self-dual, cuspidal) automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ such that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi) \cong \overline{\rho}|_{G_{F^+}}$, and π has level potentially prime to p.*

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 8.2.1 of [Sno09], once one knows that for each place $v|p$, $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{L_v^+}}$ admits a potentially Barsotti–Tate lift. (Such a lift will be of type A or B in the terminology of [Sno09].) If $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{L_v^+}}$ is irreducible, this is proved in the course of the proof of Proposition 7.8.1 of [Sno09], and if it is reducible then it is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.1.6 of [BLGG12a]. ☐

Combining Proposition A.1.1 and Theorem A.1.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary A.1.3. *Let $p > 2$ be prime, let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension, and let $\bar{r}_K : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Then there is a totally real field F^+ and a RAESDC automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ such that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)$ is absolutely irreducible, and:*

- *for each place $v|p$ of F^+, $F_v^+ \cong K$ and $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)|_{F_v^+} \cong \bar{r}_K$,*
- *for each finite place $v \nmid p$ of F^+, $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ is unramified,*
- *π has level potentially prime to p, and*
- *there is a non-trivial finite extension $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)(G_{F^+}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. This follows at once by applying Theorem A.1.2 to the representation $\bar{r}$ provided by Proposition A.1.1, taking the auxiliary field M to be $(\overline{L})^{\ker \bar{r}}$. ☐

Theorem A.1.4. *Suppose that $p > 2$, that $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a finite extension, and let $\bar{r}_K : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Then there is an imaginary CM field F with maximal totally real subfield F^+ and a RACSDC automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)$ is absolutely irreducible, and:*

- *each place $v|p$ of F^+ splits in F,*
- *for each place $v|p$ of F^+, $F_v^+ \cong K$,*
- *for each place $v|p$ of F^+, there is a place $\widetilde{v}$ of F lying over v such that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ is isomorphic to an unramified twist of $\bar{r}_K$,*
- *$\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)$ is unramified outside of the places dividing p,*
- *$\zeta_p \notin F$,*
- *$\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate.*

Proof. Let π be the RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ provided by Corollary A.1.3. Choose a totally imaginary quadratic extension F/F^+ which is linearly disjoint from $(\overline{F})^{\ker \bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)}(\zeta_p)$ over F and in which all places of F^+ lying over p split. For each place $v|p$ of F^+, choose a place $\widetilde{v}$ of F lying over v. Choose a finite order character $\theta : G_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ such that for each place $v|p$ of F^+, $\theta|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}} = 1$, and $\theta|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}^c}}} = \varepsilon \det r_{p,\imath}(\pi)|_{G_{F_v^+}}$. (The existence of such a character is guaranteed by Lemma 4.1.1 of [CHT08].) Let θ_{F^+} denote θ composed with the transfer map $G_{F^+}^{\mathrm{ab}} \to G_F^{\mathrm{ab}}$, so that $\theta_{F^+}|_{G_F} = \theta\theta^c$.

Choose a finite order character $\psi : G_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ such that

$$\psi\psi^c = \varepsilon^{-1}(\theta_{F^+}(\det r_{p,\imath}(\pi))^{-1})|_{G_F}$$

and such that each $\psi|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ is unramified. (The existence of such a character follows by applying Lemma 4.1.5 of [CHT08] to $\varepsilon^{-1}(\theta_{G_{F^+}} \det \bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi))^{-1}|_{G_F}$, choosing the integers m_τ of *loc. cit.* to be 0. Note that by virtue of the choice of θ above, the character $\varepsilon^{-1}(\theta_{F^+}(\det r_{p,\imath}(\pi))^{-1})|_{G_F}$ is crystalline at all places of F dividing p.)

Then the representation $r := r(\pi)|_{G_F} \otimes \psi\theta^{-1}$ satisfies $r^c \cong r^\vee\varepsilon^{-1}$, so by base change there is a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying all the required properties, except possibly the property that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)$ is unramified outside of p, which may be arranged by a further solvable base change. (Note that the claim that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.3.2 and the fact that $\bar{r}_{p,\imath}(\pi)(G_F) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ for some non-trivial extension $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p$.) □

Corollary A.1.5. *Suppose that* $p > 2$*, that* $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ *is a finite extension, and let* $\bar{r}_K : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ *be a continuous representation. Then there is an imaginary CM field* F *and a continuous irreducible representation* $\bar{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ *such that*

- *each place* $v|p$ *of* F^+ *splits in* F*, and has* $F^+_v \cong K$*,*
- *for each place* $v|p$ *of* F^+*, there is a place* $\widetilde{v}$ *of* F *lying over* F^+ *with* $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ *isomorphic to an unramified twist of* $\bar{r}_K$*,*
- $[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ *is even,*
- F/F^+ *is unramified at all finite places,*
- $\zeta_p \notin F$*,*
- $\bar{r}$ *is unramified outside of* p*,*
- $\bar{r}$ *is automorphic in the sense of Section 3.2, and*
- $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ *is adequate.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem A.1.4 and the theory of base change between GL_2 and unitary groups, *cf.* section 2 of [BLGG11b] (note that we can make a finite solvable base change to ensure that $[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even and F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places without affecting the other conditions). □

REFERENCES

[BC11] Joël Bellaïche and Gaëtan Chenevier, *The sign of Galois representations attached to automorphic forms for unitary groups*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1337–1352. MR 2834723

[BD12] Christophe Breuil and Fred Diamond, *Formes modulaires de Hilbert modulo p et valeurs d'extensions Galoisiennes*, 2012.

[BDJ10] Kevin Buzzard, Fred Diamond, and Frazer Jarvis, *On Serre's conjecture for mod l Galois representations over totally real fields*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 1, 105–161.

[BLGG11a] Tom Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, and David Geraghty, *The Sato-Tate Conjecture for Hilbert Modular Forms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (2011), no. 2, 411–469.

[BLGG11b] ______, *Serre weights for rank two unitary groups*, Preprint, 2011.

[BLGG12a] ______, *Congruences between Hilbert modular forms: constructing ordinary lifts*, Duke Mathematical Journal (to appear) (2012).

[BLGG12b] ______, *Congruences between Hilbert modular forms: constructing ordinary lifts, II*, 2012.

[BLGGT10] Tom Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, and Richard Taylor, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, Preprint, 2010.

[BLGGT12] ———, *Local-global compatibility for $l = p$, II*, Annales scientifiques de l'ENS (to appear) (2012).

[BLGHT11] Tom Barnet-Lamb, David Geraghty, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor, *A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 1, 29–98. MR 2827723

[BM02] Christophe Breuil and Ariane Mézard, *Multiplicités modulaires et représentations de* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ *et de* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ *en* $l = p$, Duke Math. J. **115** (2002), no. 2, 205–310, With an appendix by Guy Henniart. MR MR1944572 (2004i:11052)

[Cal12] Frank Calegari, *Even Galois Representations and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture II*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 2, 533–554.

[CHT08] Laurent Clozel, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor, *Automorphy for some l-adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations*, Pub. Math. IHES **108** (2008), 1–181.

[DDT97] Henri Darmon, Fred Diamond, and Richard Taylor, *Fermat's last theorem*, Elliptic curves, modular forms & Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997, pp. 2–140. MR 1605752 (99d:11067b)

[Dia07] Fred Diamond, *A correspondence between representations of local Galois groups and Lie-type groups*, L-functions and Galois representations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 320, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 187–206. MR 2392355 (2009d:11085)

[EG11] Matthew Emerton and Toby Gee, *A geometric perspective on the Breuil–Mézard conjecture*, 2011.

[Gee06] Toby Gee, *A modularity lifting theorem for weight two Hilbert modular forms*, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 5-6, 805–811. MR MR2280776 (2007m:11065)

[Gee11a] ———, *Automorphic lifts of prescribed types*, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), no. 1, 107–144. MR 2785764 (2012c:11118)

[Gee11b] ———, *On the weights of mod p Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. **184** (2011), no. 1, 1–46. MR 2782251

[GG12] Toby Gee and David Geraghty, *Companion forms for unitary and symplectic groups*, Duke Mathematical Journal (to appear) (2012).

[GL12] Hui Gao and Tong Liu, *A note on potential diagonalizability of crystalline representations*, 2012.

[GLS12a] Toby Gee, Tong Liu, and David Savitt, *The Buzzard–Diamond–Jarvis conjecture for unitary groups*, 2012.

[GLS12b] ———, *Crystalline extensions and the weight part of Serre's conjecture*, Algebra and Number Theory (to appear) (2012).

[HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 151, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001, With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich. MR MR1876802 (2002m:11050)

[Kis08] Mark Kisin, *Potentially semi-stable deformation rings*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 2, 513–546. MR MR2373358 (2009c:11194)

[Kis09a] ———, *The Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for* GL_2, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 3, 641–690. MR MR2505297

[Kis09b] ———, *Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity*, Annals of Math.(2) **170** (2009), no. 3, 1085–1180. MR MR2600871

[Kis10] ———, *The structure of potentially semi-stable deformation rings*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume II (New Delhi), Hindustan Book Agency, 2010, pp. 294–311. MR 2827797

[Lab09] Jean-Pierre Labesse, *Changement de base CM et séries discrètes*, preprint, 2009.

[New] James Newton, *Serre weights and Shimura curves*, in preparation.

[Pil08] Vincent Pilloni, *The study of 2-dimensional p-adic Galois deformations in the $l \neq p$ case*, 2008.

[Sch08] Michael M. Schein, *Weights in Serre's conjecture for Hilbert modular forms: the ramified case*, Israel J. Math. **166** (2008), 369–391. MR MR2430440 (2009e:11090)

[Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. MR 0450380 (56 #8675)

[Sno09] Andrew Snowden, *On two dimensional weight two odd representations of totally real fields*, 2009.

[Tay06] Richard Taylor, *On the meromorphic continuation of degree two L-functions*, Doc. Math. (2006), no. Extra Vol., 729–779 (electronic). MR MR2290604 (2008c:11154)

[Tho10] Jack Thorne, *On the automorphy of l-adic Galois representations with small residual image*, preprint available at `http://www.math.harvard.edu/~thorne`, 2010.

[Vig89a] Marie-France Vignéras, *Correspondance modulaire galois-quaternions pour un corps p-adique*, Number theory (Ulm, 1987), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1380, Springer, New York, 1989, pp. 254–266. MR 1009804 (90j:11041)

[Vig89b] ______, *Représentations modulaires de* $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$ *en caractéristique* l, F *corps p-adique,* $p \neq l$, Compositio Math. **72** (1989), no. 1, 33–66. MR 1026328 (90k:22029)

E-mail address: `toby.gee@imperial.ac.uk`

Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London

E-mail address: `kisin@math.harvard.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University